

HUMAN RIGHTS IN AUSTRIA: CRIME, SECURITY, AND THE RULE OF LAW

THE HOFSCHROER REPORT

The Abuse of Psychiatry and the Rule of Law in Austria

***"To put a sane person in a madhouse is worse than the gas chambers:
The torture is more cruel, death takes longer."***

Nobel Laureate Alekzandr Solzhenitsyn

PLEASE LISTEN TO THIS IMPORTANT AUDIO TESTIMONY:

<https://www.mixcloud.com/TheFreedomTalkRadioNetwork/the-peter-hofschroer-last-staement/>



URGENT! THE FINAL SOLUTION HAS BEGUN

GERMAN LANGUAGE <https://grandmabblog2018.wordpress.com/2019/04/24/peter-hofschroer-ist-er-psychisch-krank/>

LORD MAGINNIS OF DRUMGLASS (THE BARON MAGINNIS)



See Lord Maginnis speaking in the United Kingdom parliament about the Hofschroer Case by advancing the time to 14.45.45

<http://www.parliamentlive.tv/main/Player.aspx?meetingId=10756&wfs=true>



THE LORD MAGINNIS OF DRUMGLASS

22nd July 2020.

Dear

IN THE MATTER OF PETER AND BARBARA HOFSCHROER:

My lengthy career has involved service as a part-time reserve police officer for 7 years; a Major in the British army for 12 years (Sandhurst trained): concurrently I was a school headmaster for 16 of the 22 years that I taught. Since 1983 I was an MP in the House of Commons and currently sit in the House of Lords, United Kingdom.

The Hofschroer case was brought to my attention some years before I spoke about it in parliament in 2012. The work of a licensed professional fraud investigator was presented to me and to barrister Mr. Donald Crawford, who was, at that time, a legal advisor to the British parliament at Westminster

Having viewed all the documentary evidence, the late Mr. Crawford and I were, and I still am, of the opinion that there is enough evidence in the case to present to the Crown Prosecution Service, and that the outcome should put a number of North Yorkshire Police senior officers in prison for a very long time.

A family member, Robert Hofschroer, had been able to mobilise friends and associates in York Social Services, North Yorkshire Police, and the City of York and others outside the UK to act in common purpose to harass Peter and Barbara Hofschroer, and falsely accuse them of crimes. It appeared that the purpose was for Robert Hofschroer to acquire Peter and Barbara's two houses, life savings and other assets by fraudulent means, and to bear false witness against them both in order for Peter to lose his Power of Attorney over Barbara's finances, paving the way for Robert to take ownership of the two homes and all their other assets.

The evidence suggests that a named senior North Yorkshire Police officer contacted the Austrian courts prior to a civil hearing in which Peter was trying to defend his mother's property from being taken by Robert, and made false allegations about Peter's mental health. It is my understanding that, as a consequence of this false testimony, the Austrian judge –Dr. Martin Stefula wrongly declared Peter Hofschroer to be mentally incapacitated. I believe this declaration might have been made in error, but was subsequently covered up with false psychiatric reports written after the fact, resulting in Peter Hofschroer's wrongful deprivation of liberty and subsequent violation of his human rights in Asten Prison.

It has recently been brought to my attention that, in 2012, when I started speaking about the Hofschroer case in parliament, Peter brought that fact to the attention of the court. He was not believed by Dr. Martin Stefula who, as a result, then openly declared Peter to be



THE LORD MAGINNIS OF DRUMGLASS

insane and/or delusional and issued an order for his committal, at which point Barbara and Peter were forced to flee the country.

I hereby attest and affirm that I have indeed spoken in parliament on several occasions regarding the case since 2012, and I do fully endorse the efforts of Ms. Jean James and others who have come to the aid of Peter Hofschroer and his mother, Barbara.

I wholeheartedly support the efforts of Ms. Jean James' and others to secure the immediate release of Peter Hofschroer who has been very seriously wronged and damaged as a result of carefully planned criminal activity, and consequential official misjudgments and errors. I am very keen to ensure that there should now be an immediate and full investigation into the case, both from the aspect of serious cross-border criminal activity and the national security of at least three nations, and from the standpoint of international human rights.

I have found Ms. James to be an honest, intelligent and civic minded person, with a strong desire for justice. I have found her to be an excellent researcher, who presents objectivity and truthfulness in her writing.

I ask that anyone from whom Ms. James requests support for Peter and Barbara Hofschroer to please step forward, treat this case as urgent, and take immediate measures to restore liberty to Peter Hofschroer and his 92 year old mother, Barbara who was also deprived of her liberty against her will.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ken J.", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

The Lord Maginnis of Drumglass

Please also see: <https://www.theyworkforyou.com/search/?q=hofschroer>

Written Answers — House of Lords: Barbara Hofschroer (24 Sep 2012) Lord Maginnis of Drumglass: To ask Her Majesty's Government when the case of Mrs Hofschroer was first referred to the Home Office; what are the reasons for the delay in the resolution of the matter; and when they expect the issue to be concluded.

Written Answers — House of Lords: Barbara Hofschroer (31 Jul 2012) Lord Maginnis of Drumglass: To ask Her Majesty's Government when the case of Mrs Hofschroer was first referred to the Home Office; what are the reasons for the delay in the resolution of the matter; and when they expect the issue to be concluded. [HL1638]

Queen's Speech — Debate (4th Day) (15 May 2012) Lord Maginnis of Drumglass: ...to pursue this elderly lady all the way to her son's home in Austria. Does anyone in authority care that social services and police in North Yorkshire have conspired in the persecution of Mrs Hofschroer and her son? Are details of dismissals, forced retirements and other shady and costly measures pertaining to North Yorkshire Police available to legislators in Parliament? No. Basic justice...

Police: Misconduct and Corruption — Question (15 May 2012) Lord Maginnis of Drumglass: ...Government have no real concept of hands-on responsibility or of timely decision-making and believe that by delegation they can wash their hands of responsibility? Are the Gary McKinnon and widow Hofschroer cases, respectively awaiting justice for 10 years and three years, not examples of a Government who could not care less?

Dr. Elisabeth Tichy-Fisslberger is in the difficult position of conflict of interest, as she is the UN's Director of Human Rights and also an Austrian government official. Any UN investigation undertaken by Dr. Tichy-Fisslberger personally into Austria's wrongdoings will be biased, and therefore invalid.

NEMO JUDEX IN CAUSA SUA: No man may be a judge in his own cause.

So who else will step up to the plate and address this very serious matter that ought to at least disqualify Austria from EU membership, please? Who will stop this abuse right now? The predicament is embarrassing for Austria, or at least it will be very soon, unless they release Peter Hofschroer immediately.

Can Austria afford any more scandals at Asten, much less one that highlights the very laws of the Austrian State and the unlawful actions of those who are supposed to respect and uphold them?

HEAD OF PRISON SERVICE



Mr. Friedrich Alexander Koenig
General Director of the Austrian Prison Service
Appointed 01/03/2019

Head office

Address: Museumstrasse 7 , 1070 Vienna

Telephone: +431521520

Website: <http://www.bmj.gv.at>

Email: team.gd@bmj.gv.at



Dr. Martin Kitzberger
Deputy Governor Asten Prison

Justizanstalt Asten

4481 Asten
Technologiestraße 5
Austria

Tel: +43 7224 66160
Fax: +43 7224 66160 0311

PETER ANTHONY HOFSCHROER
PRISONER #154722—DEPARTMENT “A”



Mag. Dr. Herwig Nosko

Leiter der Klinischen Psychologie und Musiktherapie bei der Vorstellung des Angebotes



Dr. Alma Zadić, LL.

The Federal Minister of Justice

The Federal Minister of Justice heads the Federal Ministry of Justice. She is one of the highest administrative bodies of the federal government and is a member of the federal government. She is responsible for the political leadership, coordination and ultimate supervision of the department and all associated departments.

Maßnahme, or "The Measure": an Austrian system of incarcerating people for punitive 'treatment' disguised as a mental health cure, very often with fraudulent and fictitious psychiatric reports (as in the case of Peter Hofschroer) and with NO LEGITIMATE psychiatric examination of the prisoner, but certainly with a very inexpensive (116.20 Euros each) phony, or fake, report as a result of the lack of an examination. This activity meets neither internationally acceptable professional psychiatric standards and procedures, nor international standards in law.

Austria's victims are often secreted away and put in prisons for *allegedly* mentally abnormal lawbreakers: after being transferred to Asten Prison from the Sigmund Freud Klinikum in Graz, Peter Hofschroer had to **strongly insist** that he be allowed a phone call to tell someone where he was; otherwise, he would have 'disappeared'.

(You will see from his letter of 7 July, 2020 how they are still attempting to make him disappear.) On arrival at Asten, the phone call was not offered to him, and it was allowed only begrudgingly after his insistence.

Also as you will see from Peter's letter referenced above, Asten forces prisoners to undergo continuous psychological, emotional and physical torture, and chemical abuse – or whatever it takes to make them confess, *rightly or wrongly*, to committing crimes, and until they submit to medications and therapy for psychological conditions that they often do not have.

If they do not do this, they will remain there for the rest of their lives, or be put back into society having acquired permanent brain damage from the forced administration of psychotropic drugs – or died (perhaps 'mysteriously').

It is understood that, in order to invoke Maßnahme (Massnahme), any alleged criminal offence MUST carry a sentence in excess of one year, and also a person MUST be sane to be convicted of a criminal offence, but MUST be *insane* to be incarcerated under Maßnahme. In Peter's case, however, he was singled out to be deemed '**sane**' for the purposes of **convicting him of criminal offences, and 'insane' for the purposes of keeping him** incarcerated long after the prison sentences had already been served, *and for life, by invoking Maßnahme!*

Quite a nice little 'hat trick': **This is arbitrary detention, whichever way you care to look at it.**

None of the alleged offences that Peter was charged with carried a sentence of more than one year in any case. The alleged crimes for which Peter was sentenced (while 'sane') were considered "already served" and "double jeopardy". The intent to incarcerate Peter for insanity was made clear by Judge Dr. Martin Stefula long before Peter had ever been accused of any crime – back in 2011.

This is a judicial vendetta, with a hidden agenda and nefarious connections to others in the UK and beyond **who have committed crimes in a joint criminal enterprise**, and Austria needs to do the right thing: to rescind Peter Hofschroer's sentence immediately and release him. The culprits in Austria have aligned themselves with the machinations of criminals. This needs to be thoroughly investigated as the transnational serious organised crime that it is. In some countries, official corruption carries the death penalty.

Peter is not alone in these human rights violations, as you will see:

Implementation of “Measures”: “Thousands of complaints have been received”
Maßnahmenvollzug: „Es sind tausende Beschwerden eingelangt“

Please see: <https://www.diepresse.com/5532034/massnahmenvollzug-es-sind-tausende-beschwerden-eingelangt>

<https://www.facebook.com/watch/?v=554076552137626>

<https://augustin.or.at/viel-gelungen/>

<https://translate.google.com/translate?hl=en&sl=de&u=https://massnahmenvollzug.wordpress.com/author/markusdrechsler74/&prev=search&pto=aue>

Thousands of complaints! If they all get the “Special Treatment”, then it is undoubtedly genocide and not a ‘cure’.

Austria has been convicted **TWICE ALREADY** by the European Court of Human Rights on enforcement issues. The problems still continue, and the matter is now urgent. The situation with Peter Hofschroer is such that it now requires immediate intervention from outside the country. People are 'found dead' at Asten, or have 'fallen down the stairs' and required hospitalisation, but it is not reported to the media. Was the woman who was 'found dead' at Asten a few months ago, *The Ice Lady*, or does she still stalk the corridors of Asten Prison? Maybe the New York Times can find out and do a follow-up story (or two)?

<https://www.news.com.au/world/europe/ice-lady-aka-ice-cream-killer-estibaliz-carranza-moved-to-mens-prison-in-austria/news-story/60b67ffbfec2c2d2b1c0b93d0b43034>

See letter dated 15 March 2020 by Peter Hofschroer next page.

Non-violent, disabled historians and writers don't stand a chance in Asten Prison.

**Austria is at clear risk of a serious breach of the Rule of Law
under Article 7 of the Treaty on European Union**

The Hofschroer case illustrates how easily enforced disappearances, arbitrary detention, psychological and physical torture, chemical abuse, and other breaches of European values may be carried out smoothly and covertly in the guise of **Maßnahme - a wrongly legitimised form of punishment disguised as mental health treatment**, which is focussed on eliciting 'confessions' to crimes, whether true or otherwise.

Under **Maßnahme**, nationals and non-nationals alike may be deprived of their liberty for life, while being incessantly persecuted by inhumane institutional practices and, in the Hofschroer case at least, criminal activity including physical and psychological torture, bullying, irregular, vexatious and vindictive judicial rulings, judicial refusals to see or hear evidence, contrary to natural law (**audi alteram partem: hear the other side**) and the general acceptance of false instruments by the courts and the Austrian State itself – often in the form of routinely-written *fictitious* (fraudulent, perjurious and libellous) psychiatric reports, in what has become a **State-approved, normalised deviant practice, contrary to the Rule of Law in Europe** and elsewhere in the western world.

The Austrian authorities cannot pretend they did not know it was happening. We've heard that one somewhere before, 80 years ago.

Both European and Austrian law, at least in writing, are clear on the requirements of both the courts and of expert witnesses: Psychiatric assessments based on the records, and undertaken without a personal examination, are insufficient for somebody to be detained in psychiatric custody, which is what has happened to Peter Hofschroer and others.

This course of action also conflicts with both Article 5(1) of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), Section 429(2) of the Austrian criminal procedure rules, as well as Article 6 of the ECHR: the right to a fair trial.

Furthermore, the Austrian health ministry considers that psychiatric assessments without a personal examination **are worthless**. The Austrian administrative court made a similar determination in 1992.

The law under which Peter Hofschroer is being detained in Austria, section 21 of the criminal code, has been the subject of decisions made by the European Court of Human Rights, which considers this law a serious violation of human rights.

The Republic of Austria was given until April 2019 to change this law to comply with the ECtHR. As with all European Court Rulings on the abuse of human rights in institutional psychiatry, the Austrian authorities have ignored this decision as well.

A decision by the European Court of Human Rights determined that contradictory assessments do not comply with the Winterwerp criterium, Section 127(3) of the Austrian criminal code, which determines that, in the event of an assessment being contradictory, another expert witness should be called to make a new assessment.

Clearly this law, too, has been flouted in the Hofschroer case: in an assessment of the two conflicting, fraudulent, fictitious and **lethal** (or soon to be) psychiatric reports delivered to the courts by Professor Dr. Manfred Walzl and accepted, **Mag. Dr. Klaus Burtscher, an Austrian forensic psychologist, writes:**

“Both (of Walzl’s) assessments (13.8.2013 and 4.9.2017) and the statements in court (19.2.2018) are, as such, contradictory and cannot be checked by an expert, and do not meet the clear quality requirements.”

“A reliable and valid diagnosis of a personality disorder requires extensive examinations. The ‘state of the art’ requires structured interviews. The diagnoses, ‘paranoid personality disorder (ICD-10 F60.0)’ and the ‘delusional disorder (ICD-10 F22.0)’ are mutually exclusive. The determination is that ‘the worst accusation’ against an expert report is that the report contains contradictions.”

In short, Dr. Walzl made the worst professional error possible, and his assessment is thus entirely invalid **but let us not lose sight of the fact that HE NEVER EXAMINED PETER IN THE FIRST PLACE**, so this incarceration is based entirely on a fraud and is therefore null and void under the Rule of Law.

Can it get any worse? Yes, it can.

Dr. Burtscher continues,

“Through a misinterpretation of a scientific article by Seto, Cantor and Blanchard (2006) in the ‘Journal of Abnormal Psychology’, (Walzl) states that Mr. Hofschroer is strongly suspected of being a paedophile (F65.4). Correlation and cause have been confused here.”

Besides which, mere ‘suspicion’ of crime is not enough to incarcerate anyone, yet this has occurred in the Hofschroer case – ***a life sentence for a sane man who refuses to bow to the torture inflicted on him for the purpose of a confession.***

In conclusion, even if there is a Rule of Law in Austria, it is not being adhered to, and the so-called expert witnesses who either do not examine people at all, basing their reports on that of others, or who are inadequately trained and educated to an acceptable international standard, the Rule of Law is being ignored, and the Austrian courts can be used as a cover for criminal acts – as in the case of Peter Hofschroer who has been deprived of all his assets, his career, his health, and now his VERY LIFE hangs in the balance.

In a letter from the court-appointed guardian of Peter’s financial affairs, dated 10 July 2020, he states clearly, ***“The psychiatric evaluation of Mr. Peter Hofschroer is quite difficult because he refuses to undergo an evaluation.”***

This is official confirmation that Peter has not been examined in Austria as required by law.

Peter knows that the intent is to keep him incarcerated for life, and that ANY court -appointed psychiatrist will say whatever the judge wants them to say, or they won’t get any further work.

“He who pays the piper calls the tune.”

International Human Rights lawyer, Robert Amsterdam, reveals the fact that no organisation came forward to support another victim of Austria's so-called legal system: Jewish historian and author, Stephan Templ. We ask that, with this new additional evidence of human rights abuses in Austria regarding Peter Hofschroer, the appropriate people and organisations now please step forward and support an investigation into Austria's Rule of Law and human rights abuses, starting with the two significant cases highlighted in this correspondence.

Interestingly, both cases involve perfectly sane, accomplished historians and authors, and their exposure of state-organised racketeering and the theft of real estate and other assets. Since Peter's recordings were made, both he and his mother, Barbara, have been dispossessed of all of their assets, both in Austria and in the UK, just as Peter predicted would happen, many years ago now.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6WMd1fOo9ck>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uZWryAMArr8>

**There are allegedly *thousands* of others like Peter and Stephan,
therefore this is a crime against humanity**

**PETER AND BARBARA HOFSCHROER
TWO DISABLED SENIOR CITIZENS
(a 'Band on the Run' 2013)**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2YOVT94djvY>

Sonia Poulton interview

BACKGROUND AS AT DATE OF 2018 RECORDING

BARBARA AND PETER HOFSCHROER

British born, Mrs. Barbara Hofschroer was born on 13th December 1928. She was kidnapped by her abusers in May 2014. Her current whereabouts and status are unknown. She has "disappeared".

Her son, Peter Hofschroer, was born on 28th May 1956, and has both British and German citizenship. He has been confined to the Sigmund Freud Klinikum in Graz, Austria, for life - *although mentally sound*.

Barbara and Peter have exposed serious, organised crime and corruption at high levels in Britain, Austria, and Germany. They maintain that they have been detained to prevent further exposure of cross-border criminality undertaken by senior officials. It is thought that Barbara might be confined to a local authority care home in York, England, although nothing has been heard from or about her for many months. It is not known whether or not she is still alive.

Peter was detained in Britain on 1st December 2014, just minutes before commencing a private prosecution case that would have exposed fraud and criminality committed against Barbara and himself, which also involved police and local authorities. **He was held ON REMAND until June 2016**, when he was convicted of possession of indecent images. He continues to dispute the allegations and maintains that the images were planted by police or another party. Evidence supporting this was withheld from the court. Peter and his supporters also maintain that he has not had a fair trial, with Article 6 of the ECHR being seriously violated.

As Peter had already served longer in jail than the sentence received, he was due for immediate release. However, he continued to be held in custody pending extradition to Austria to face various charges, which he maintains are false. Extradition took place in July 2017, and Peter was first held in jail pending trial. However, most of the charges were dropped and, in November 2017, he was transferred to a psychiatric institute **FOR LIFE, *without ever having been examined by a psychiatrist in Austria!***

The psychiatrist who wrote this report was a certain university professor, Dr. Manfred Walzl. It has been said that he is known to fake reports to facilitate the imprisonment of opponents of the regime. His report determined that Peter suffers from such a severe paranoid personality disorder that he is a danger to the public, and that he needs to be confined for life. This, however, conflicts with all the evidence. A psychiatrist in England examined Peter in person, and determined that he is of sound mind. Furthermore, suitably qualified friends and professionals confirm that he has no mental health issues.

Peter maintains that all these allegations of crime and mental incapacity have been fabricated in order to:

- (a) prevent his exposure of official corruption in Britain, Austria, and Germany;
- (b) discredit him and the evidence of this corruption he, and others, have already published in the public domain;
- (c) allow corrupt officials, working in co-operation with certain family members, to defraud Barbara and Peter of their assets in Britain and Austria.

Lord Kenneth Maginnis, member of the British House of Lords, working with a professional investigator, produced sufficient evidence for what should be a successful prosecution of offences by police and social workers involved in the actions to defraud Barbara of her house in England. In May 2012, in Parliament, he called for a "thorough investigation" into the Hofschroer case.

<https://www.theyworkforyou.com/search/?q=hofschroer>

This, however, did not take place and, shortly afterwards, North Yorkshire Police entered Barbara's house and claimed to have found incriminating evidence against Peter in the house.

During this time, Peter and Barbara were in exile in Austria, and the Austrian authorities then claimed that Peter lacked mental capacity, even though they had never met him, and commenced proceedings to have him confined to a psychiatric institute for the rest of his life. Peter and Barbara were then forced to seek refuge in Germany, where they were granted "Persecuted Persons" status. However, in May 2014, German police and others violently kidnapped Barbara, and allowed her persecutors to take her to England. Both Barbara and Peter are frail and disabled. Physical violence was used against them.

Since then, Barbara has been held against her will. She was known, at one time, to be held in a Yorkshire care home run by the local authorities. She is said to have been denied critical medication, her glasses, and more. She suffered a life-changing injury due to what is believed to be wilful neglect, and has been stripped of all her assets. Barbara was, and still is, being held incommunicado and it is not certain whether or not she is still alive. The English courts (in particular the infamous secret court, The Court of Protection), has acted in collusion with her persecutors.

Peter's court appeal against his confinement to a psychiatric prison was denied. The courts refused to consider evidence or hear witnesses supporting his case.

Meanwhile, the Austrian state prosecutor has dropped two and a half of the three charges against Peter. The outstanding matter is so petty that it does not warrant imprisonment.

Peter maintains that he and his mother have been subjected to persecution and imprisonment due to their anti-corruption activism, and their exposure of serious organised crime committed by officials in several member states of the European Union. His case appears to be far from unique, and he asserts that he has knowledge of reports concerning a large number of people being held in Austrian mental institutions due to their opposition of the regime - i.e. political prisoners like himself.

The level of corruption in the Hofschroer case has deterred lawyers from giving Barbara and Peter proper advice and support. Neither of them has had effective legal representation, and their case has not had effective media exposure, despite the efforts of Lord Maginnis (The case is mentioned several times in Hansard.) and others.

On the contrary, the British police alone have spent in excess of one million pounds in CIVIL ACTIONS to block all publicity concerning this case. No action of libel has been commenced against those involved in exposing official corruption - just a trumped-up harassment charge, and public monies used to silence critics.

<https://neilwilby.com/2016/02/14/north-yorkshire-police-and-the-fall-of-rome/>

<https://www.yorkshirepost.co.uk/news/citizen-journalists-hit-back-over-legal-action-close-down-media-north-yorkshire-police-1823884>

<http://nyenquirer.uk/operation-spade-ipcc-investigation-re-nyp-force-intelligence-bureau/>

The following officer is one of the persons accused of misconduct in the Hofschroer case:

Detective Superintendent Ray Galloway (Retired) Former Director of FIB



2018 DISCUSSION PETER HOFSCHROER

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/-x0ctbdNqTE/>

***Please note that there are a few minor errors in the narrative:*

***The image allegedly found within a 20 minute search of some 5,000 books in Peter's extensive home library, and the 12 or 46 or so videos (various numbers given in various police reports, as police appear to be unsure of the facts) allegedly 'found' in Peter's home took place in Austria and not in Yorkshire. It was Austrian police who 'suddenly and mysteriously' decided to enter Peter's home in Austria - completely out of the blue - who said that they found these items in the Austrian home that Peter and Barbara had left empty for a very long period of time.*

(Also please note that there was a lockbox on the back of the house containing a key. Peter and Barbara were in Germany, and the house had been unattended for a few years. Anyone could have gained access. All the cases brought against Peter, both in the UK and in Austria, were unsafe and the charges were relatively minor. We believe that Peter is innocent of all charges, and that this criminal network was intent on "getting him out of the way", so he was framed. Some rare and valuable antiques 'disappeared' from Peter's Austrian home at that time. Incidentally, the entire contents of the Austrian home, including Peter's priceless collection of 5,000 extremely rare specialist antique books, in several languages, have also since 'disappeared'. These books were the **tools of Peter's trade**, and his whole future career as a specialist military historian will be completely decimated, should he ever get out from under Austria's horrendous legalised oppression.)

Regardless of whether or not Peter committed any crime, he has already been held in Austria for **THREE YEARS and a life sentence is completely off the charts for whatever it is he is accused of.**

This is arbitrary detention.

Peter was declared insane by Judge Martin Stefula with no evidence whatsoever. He decided by himself and then contracted Dr. Manfred Walzl to write a fictitious report without any examination whatsoever. He has been declared insane with a false instrument (fictitious psychiatric reports that are ongoing) and this is PERJURY AND FRAUD UPON THE COURT anywhere else in the western world. Therefore, as the basis of his committal is a fraud, then the case is null and void and he should be released immediately.

The following incident report of February 2018 is also described later in the above video. As you will see from the date, the first unwarranted incident of abuse and aggression against Peter was attempted **almost immediately upon his arrival at the Sigmund Freud Klinikum in Graz in 2018**, which is where he was held prior to Asten (and which, ironically, is in close proximity to Austria's notorious *Mauthausen* concentration camp), after being held in prison for six or seven months already – **unnecessarily**. There have been a number of other incidents between then and now and we do have more information.

Peter Hofschroer.

Incident of 19th January 2018

Around 11am on Friday, January 19th, 2018, I was called into a room on Hospital Wing PS21 in the LandesKrankenhaus Sued-West in Graz, Austria. This room is known to the inmates as the 'torture chamber' as this is where staff forcibly inject patients, - including peaceful patients, - with the 'liquid cosh'. In the room, were a number of people including Dr Weissensteiner, 3-4 male nurses, two men and one woman.

Normally, male nurses wear a white T-shirt with name badges. These well-built men were wearing 'civilian' T-shirts so could not be identified. Dr Weissensteiner had her hand in her pocket, and seemed to be holding something. The three other people stated they were police officers from the 'Erkennungsdienst', who had come to take my fingerprints and a DNA sample.

They asked me a number of questions, which indicated that they had little knowledge of the case. As I was not sure they were really police officers, I asked them to produce the relevant court order and their warrant cards. They refused to do so. I then asked to be allowed to take legal advice before continuing this interview. This, too, was refused, so I declined to give my finger prints or DNA. The female then produced a card with 'Police' and a number on it (the number was 61165136), but it had neither a name nor a photograph on it, so I again refused to give my fingerprints or DNA.

At that point, one of the male nurses screamed at me so loud that his face went red, threatening me if I did not cooperate. I responded by politely requesting the male nurse to stop screaming at me. He continued to do so, so I again asked him to stop, which he did.

One of the police officers then forcibly ran a swab over my neck and forehead.

I was then allowed to leave the room.

It seems this was an attempt to provoke me into a violent reaction to provide an excuse to give me the 'liquid cosh'.

The fact that I did not respond as hoped is a further clear indication that I do not suffer from a paranoid personality disorder.

Incident during the night of 13th/14th February 2018

On the evening of 13th February 2018, I went to bed around 9.30pm. Around 11.30pm, the lights went on and a number of male nurses came over to me and started shouting at me. Being half-asleep, I cannot be sure of exactly what was said, but it seems I was being accused of attacking somebody. I denied this politely and asked to be left alone. Then my bed was moved to the middle of the ward, where there were around ten male nurses. They grabbed hold of me and moved me to a bed on which there were restraining straps. I reminded them that I suffer from chronic rheumatoid arthritis and asked them to stop manhandling me. Nevertheless, they strapped me down and applied the straps with such force that the blood-flow to my hands was restricted. I was then left like this for several hours.

At no stage, did I offer any physical resistance to this assault. Firstly, because I am a calm, placid, non-violent person; secondly, because I suffer from chronic rheumatoid arthritis, so any attempt to use my fists or feet in a violent fashion would have resulted in me suffering severe pain; and thirdly, when faced with ten or so opponents, resistance would have been futile. Furthermore, passive resistance to violence places one on the higher moral ground. Once I was strapped down, one of the nurses grabbed my genitalia.

I noticed a female doctor, possibly Dr Weissensteiner, was hovering in the background. I assume she was hoping, I would be violent, giving her the excuse to use the 'liquid cosh'.

Such behaviour by the staff of the Sigmund-Freud-Klinikum, appears to be a pattern of contrived incidents designed to provoke me into a violent reaction, so that the staff here have an excuse to drug me.

It should be noted that the drug Dr Weissensteiner prescribed, which I have refused to take, would lead to permanent brain damage.

This incident was the latest in a series of criminal offences committed against me here, which include:-

- 1) Imprisoning a sane person for life in a psychiatric institution, is a known violation of Article 3 of the Human Rights Convention, and is considered to amount to being torture.
- 2) Attempting to get a sane person to take unnecessary, life-changing medication is an attempt to inflict serious bodily harm.
- 3) Strapping a disabled person to a bed knowing I suffer from arthritis, is an assault occasioning actual bodily harm.

Not to be forgotten is that my house in Austria can only be sold when I am dead. The assaults and torment to which I am being subjected appear to be designed to cause me so much stress that I may be tempted to commit suicide.

WE HAD NOT HEARD FROM PETER HOFSCHROER FOR OVER A MONTH UNTIL TODAY AND WONDER IF THEY HAVE SUCCEEDED IN TURNING HIM INTO A ZOMBIE. THE PRISON PERSONNEL REFUSE TO DISCUSS HIM AND HE IS NOT ALLOWED ANY PHONE CALLS

Peter told us that he would write regularly every week and that, when the mail stops, we will know that he has met a terrible fate. Who will go and visit him immediately please? He has no visitors, no phone calls, and his mail is interfered with to the utmost degree.

In a letter dated 7th July 2020, only just received in August, Peter writes,

“I have struggled with health problems over recent months, as I was dragged onto a mental ward in March and detained for seven weeks, and was given double the dose of the usual forced medication. I was on forced Haldol depot injections anyway, and they doubled the dose, which left me with vision problems as well as massive co-ordination problems and an inability to read and write/type.”

Just as Peter had reported in the recording on page 1, wherein he referred to statements made in court documents, about the “Special Treatment”, it has begun. He has been singled out for “Special Treatment”, and you can now witness a murder unfolding on these pages.

**Who, in Austria, is the connection to the Yorkshire criminal gang?
Is it someone in the judiciary?**

IS THIS NOW THE “FINAL SOLUTION” FOR PETER?

Please feel at liberty to contact me for further information, as soon as possible as the case of Peter Hofschroer grows more and more precarious, literally by the hour. In this case it is being controlled by organised crime and the intent is to kill Peter Hofschroer as evidenced by all the corrupt actions that are being used to harm him. They are speeding up the abuses. **Please bear witness. This has been done in Austria before, in past years. Do you remember?**

Austria has some other laws that are also substandard and in conflict with internationally accepted human rights laws, and standards of fairness and justice, despite what Austria would like the rest of the western world - especially the OSCE, EU, and UN - to believe. **Maßnahme** is only one of them. Please share this information widely internationally. There needs to be change.

THE HOFSCHROER CASE BECOMES MORE URGENT BY THE HOUR. IT NEEDS SWIFT INTERVENTION BY THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY, PLEASE!

We have only just been informed – due to the games played by officials at Asten Prison with Peter’s mail - that Peter was recently taken to another department, forcibly strapped down and injected with drugs without his consent. Letters from Peter forwarded to me just now also provide new and important information about the case. A few of his statements will be inserted into the remainder of this report, but we have many more.

Please scan, circulate and return to me.

INCIDENTS OF 9TH JUNE 2020 ONWARDS.

On 9th June 2020 I was transferred from Dept. K to Dept. A. No reason was given, but this was likely to be due to my numerous complaints about the staff. Knowing full well that I am physically disabled, the staff (Kojla, Micivoda) required me to do my own packing. Once the packages were loaded onto two trolleys, M. started rifling through my possessions, removing items at random. Twice I managed to regain possession of my property, but the third time, when in Dept. A, I was too exhausted to stop him. After unpacking, I provided staff on A with a list of missing items and asked them to see they were returned forthwith. They were not.

On 11th June, after repeated requests, I set staff a deadline of 4pm that day. When that came and went, I staged a peaceful, lawful protest by writing "Thieves" on their office window.

Shortly after that, five prison guards from the riot squad attacked and badly injured me by twisting every joint in my body knowing full well I suffer from arthritis. They then cuffed me so tightly my wrists were injured before dragging me to solitary. Here, they removed my trousers and underpants.

They later claimed I had attacked them with a broom, cutting the head of one of the guards. Had that been the case, what was to stop them from using pepper gas to restrain me? And where is the video footage?

My repeated requests for a medical examination and hospital treatment were ignored.

Instead, on the morning of 12th June, I was taken in handcuffs to the

(2)

forensic psychiatric depts of the Kepler University Clinic in Linz where I was given the choice of consenting to take Hadol (a zombie drug) or being strapped down and injected with it. My request for sight of a court order authorising this was ignored, so I refused. Dr. Punteler then had me injected against my will.

I was left in this condition until the afternoon of 16th June in the full knowledge this was causing me extreme discomfort.

Then, the duty psychiatrist came to speak with me. He made it clear there was no basis in law or in medicine to treat me in such a fashion. He had me returned to JA Arten forthwith. Apparently he wrote a strongly-worded letter of complaint to the prison governor stating there was no evidence to suggest I am psychotic and little to support the claim of a mental disturbance. I am now trying to obtain a copy of this letter.

ANGELIKA (?) BUKAL

On my return here, the duty psychiatrist, Dr. BICHAL (?) told me she wished to continue "treating" me with Hadol, despite the fact the hospital psychiatrist had stated this was inappropriate. Should I refuse, she would obtain the necessary authorisation to forcibly inject me. Only by accepting this treatment would I stand a chance of being released. (which is not believable).

A clear case of torture as per Section 312 b Austrian criminal code.

Peter Kuper, 18th June 2020

Please scan, circulate and return the original to me as and when.

INCIDENT OF 1ST JULY 2020

Wednesday mornings are the time the psychoterrorist Dr. Bukal makes her weekly rounds. I refused to see her and talk to her, due to her criminal behaviour since I was transferred to this dept.

On leaving the dept. she had the entrance door locked, which normally proceeds the use of the "action group" of prison guards, known to the prisoners as the "socialist squad". (SS)

I guessed I was about to be subjected to a further bout of violence. As last time I was caught unaware in my room, where there is no video camera, I went into the common room where there is. I sat there calmly drinking a ~~cup~~ cup of tea in front of the cameras, as the SS can only be used in violent situations. When they arrived, I was told to go into the isolation cell, where I would be forcibly injected with Haldol, as I was refusing to take it in tablet form.

When I told Bukal that forced medication without a court order is a criminal act, she said she knew.

I was forcibly injected when held down by the SS. I was left in the isolation cell overnight. (The ^{female} nurses on duty were kind and helpful). The next morning I was released. Bukal told me there would now be a two-week break in the (illegal) forced medication.

Peter Hipwell Aston, 2nd. July 2020

Generaldirektion

BMJ - II 3 (Betreuungsangelegenheiten im
Strafvollzug)

Justizanstalt Asten
Technologiestraße 5
4481 Asten

Martina Magister
Sachbearbeiterin

martina.magister@bmj.gv.at
+43 1 521 52-302424
Museumstraße 7, 1070 Wien

E-Mail-Antworten sind bitte unter Anführung der
Geschäftszahl an team.gd@bmj.gv.at zu richten.

Geschäftszahl: 2020-0.443.521

Untergebrachter Peter HOFSCHROER, HNR 154722 –
Ansuchen um Zwangsbehandlung gem. § 69 Abs. 1 StVG am 14. Juli 2020

Aufgrund des begründeten Antrages der Justizanstalt Asten vom 13. Juli 2020 wird die einmalig
zwangsweise Verabreichung der unbedingt erforderlichen Medikation am 14. Juli 2020 an den
Untergebrachten Peter HOFSCHROER, gemäß § 69 Abs. 1 StVG zur Vermeidung von
selbstschädigenden bzw. fremdgefährlichen Handlungen genehmigt.

Einem Bericht über den Verlauf der Zwangsbehandlung wird entgegengesehen.

14. Juli 2020
Für die Bundesministerin:
Mag. Andrea Moser-Riebniger

Elektronisch gefertigt

Partial Translation + Comments.

*Request [to BMJ] for Compulsary Treatment
according to § 69(1) StVG [Prison Law –
STRAFVOLLZUGSGESETZ] on 14th July 2020.*

*Following the justifiable application of the JA Asten on
13th July 2020, the absolute necessary ^{forced} medication
is permitted once only [NB] on 14th July 2020 of
Prisoner Peter Hofschroer as per § 69(1) StVG to
prevent self-harm and/or harm to others.*

*A report on the effect of the compulsory
medication is required.*

(PTO)

I have now been forcibly medicated several times, not once,
then put in solitary for a week for refusing to cooperate.

This is a clear breach of §69(1) StVG.

that requires me to be:

- 1) Informed of the effects of the medication [never happened]
- 2) That the medication is absolutely necessary [to stop a person who is being violent or has a psychosis]; as I have no mental disorder, this does not apply.
- 3) No danger to life or health [both are endangered with the administration of Haldol].
- 4) The forced treatment to be acceptable to ~~the~~ me [It certainly is not]

The law states failure to comply with (1)-(4)
constitutes inflicting grievous bodily harm as per §84(1)
StGB [Criminal law]

5th August 2020

Medical Director,

Dr. Freidrich Koenig, Dr. Herwig Nosko, Ms. Stefani Pullirch,

Mrs. Katrin Pendlmayr, and all staff

Justizanstalt Asten

Technologiestrasse 5

Austria

Fax +43 7224 66160 0311

By Fax, email, and mail

Dear Sirs

NOTICE TO CEASE AND DESIST

In the matter of Peter Anthony Hofschroer, prisoner number 154722, you are hereby given notice to IMMEDIATELY cease and desist all activity involving the administration of pharmaceuticals/drugs/chemicals to Peter Hofschroer, unless expressly consented to in writing by Peter Hofschroer.

You are hereby ordered to cease and desist all aggressive and unlawful actions against Peter Hofschroer, and to cease and desist from opening, stealing, withholding, disposing of, sharing, and delaying the mailing or delivery of his **legal correspondence** and denying him his rights to postage and the immediate delivery of his FRISTSACHE mail.

I refer you to S92(3) Strafvollzugsgesetz: If the prisoner has no funds, the state covers the cost of postage, or the post office charges the recipient. Moreover, once a prison official takes a letter marked FRISTSACHE, the prison and the post office are obliged by Austrian law to deliver it immediately.

As you will see from the attached letter from The Baron Lord Maginnis of Drumglass, the matter of Peter and Barbara Hofschroer involves transnational serious organised crime, and your actions may be considered in an investigation.

.....2

5th August 2020

Medical Director, Dr. Freidrich Koenig, Dr. Herwig Nosko, Ms. Stefani Pullirch,
Mrs. Katrin Pendlmayr, and all staff, Justizanstalt Asten

If you choose to continue to abuse Peter Hofschroer in any way, as you have already been doing, including the wilful obstruction of his communications and forcing unnecessary medications upon him against his will - either with or without a court order - you will continue to be perceived as complicit in the organised crimes being committed against him, and can expect to be held personally responsible in an international court of law for any harm, physical or mental, that may befall Peter Hofschroer as a result of your actions. This includes abuse by your perverting the course of justice in the matter of denying him his rights to timely legal correspondence.

Please ensure that all of your staff are aware that they will be held personally responsible for any harm that might come to Peter Hofschroer.

This notice will be circulated widely around the world to witnesses, and may be used as evidence in an international court of law. Please be aware that the defence of “superior orders” is not a defence that was upheld at Nuremberg.

Either knowingly, or unknowingly, you have aligned yourself with the activities of organised crime. To continue in this manner may have serious consequences.

Yours faithfully

PETER HOFCHRÖER – IS HE MENTALLY ILL? (UPDATE)

Since November 2017, Peter has been held in psychiatric detention in Austria, where he is to be detained for an indeterminate period, i.e. for life. The court order that 'sectioned' Peter relied on two assessments and statements made by Univ. Prof Dr. Manfred Walzl, a court 'expert witness'.

Walzl's determination is that "from a forensic psychiatric view" Peter has a "severe paranoid personality disorder (ICD-10:F60.0), which is so advanced that it has reached the level of psychosis".

Although Walzl has never examined Peter, he used the term "without doubt". When the Austrian press questioned his judgement here, Walzl stated, "There is absolutely no doubt of this". Paranoia is incurable.

When questioned on this in court hearings, Walzl was doubtful about his original assessment. He was now of the opinion that the incurable paranoia had somehow mutated into a delusional disorder (ICD-10:F22.0), which is not possible.

Both European and Austrian law are clear on the requirements of both the courts and of expert witnesses: Psychiatric assessments based on the record and undertaken without a personal examination are insufficient for somebody to be detained in psychiatric custody.

This conflicts with both Article 5(I) of the European Convention on Human Rights [ECHR] Section 429(2) of the Austrian criminal procedure rules, as well as Article 6 of the ECHR, the right to a fair trial.

Furthermore, the Austrian health ministry considers that psychiatric assessments without a personal examination are worthless. The Austrian administrative court made a similar determination in 1992.

A (belated) "annual" review of Peter's detention was undertaken in February 2020. Again, an assessment was produced on the basis of the record and without a personal examination.

The court-appointed expert witness this time was Dr. Sabine Puritscher. She wilfully evaded conducting a personal examination. The court declined to produce evidence that she has any suitable qualifications, which is unlawful.

There is no professional chair for forensic psychiatry in Austria, so it is unlikely that any Austrian psychiatrist has the necessary qualifications to produce forensic psychiatric reports.

However, the Austrian medical council does offer a course on the subject, for which it offers a diploma. This course includes a module on producing a criminal prognosis.

Our enquiries indicate that neither Walzl nor Puritscher have this diploma. By law, they should not be acting as expert witnesses.

Nevertheless, Puritscher indicated, without examining Peter, that his psychological condition had not changed since Walzl's assessment of a paranoid personality disorder. However, she indicated that the incurable paranoia had transformed into a delusional disorder (ICD-10:F22.8)

Both Walzl and Puritscher produced assessments that contradicted their own diagnoses. Both European and Austrian law do not allow contradictory assessments to be accepted by a court .

A decision by the European Court of Human Rights determined that contradictory assessments do not comply with the Winterwerp criterium. Section 127(3) of the Austrian criminal code determines that in the event of an assessment being contradictory, another expert witness should be called to make a new assessment.

Clearly, the law has been flouted in Peter's case.

This contrasts with an assessment made by Dr. Elizabeth Gethins, which states: "Whilst I was with Mr Hofschröer I carried out the IPDE Screening Questionnaire (International Personality Disorder) Examination, a score of 3 or above is suggestive of the presence of a corresponding personality disorder. On completion of the screening questionnaire, (he) did not score above 3 on any of the domains, suggesting that he does not have a personality disorder."

Gethins undertook this examination during her meeting with Peter.

Although Gethins' report meets the requirements of both the ECHR and of Austrian law, the Austrian court refused to accept it. This is despite the fact that the Winterwerp criterium requires that all relevant evidence must be considered, and external assessments may be produced in court.

So, which assessment is correct? That question was the subject of a report by Mag. Dr. Klaus Burtscher.

Burtscher's conclusion to his thorough investigation is: "Both [of Walzl's] assessments (13.8.2013 and 4.9.2017) and the statements in court (19.2.2018) are, as such, contradictory, cannot be checked by an expert and do not meet the clear quality requirements."

In other words, the testimony of the 'expert witness', Walzl, is so flawed, it has no value, neither from a medical nor a legal perspective. Neither does Puritscher's testimony, and the court was well aware of that.

This supports our contention that Peter's detention for life is unlawful.

Let us now examine how Mag. Dr. Burtscher came to this conclusion. He writes as follows:

"A reliable and valid diagnosis of a personality disorder requires extensive examinations. The 'state of the art' requires structured interviews. The diagnoses 'paranoid personality disorder (ICD-10 F60.0)' and the 'delusional disorder (ICD-10 F22.0)' are mutually exclusive. The determination that 'the worst accusation' against an expert report is that the 'report contains contradictions'."

In short, Walzl has made the worst professional error possible and his assessment is thus entirely invalid. It is interesting to note that the 'doctors' at the Sigmund Freud Clinic responsible for the "special treatment" Peter is being given, including the Primaria Dr. Wiltrud Hackinger and Dr. Manfred Maier, have not noticed this error, which negates Walzl's diagnosis in its entirety, but have instead attempted to force Peter to take medication that would have caused permanent brain damage.

Neither have the staff in the JA Asten, where Peter is currently being held, noticed the fundamental flaw in both Walzl's and Puritscher's assessments. The staff here include the governor, Dr. Martin Kitzberger, the deputy governor, Dr. Herwig Nosko, the prison psychiatrist, Dr. Harald Rasser, and the case manager, psychologist, Norman Meuschke.

They too are insistent that Peter should take medication that would cause permanent brain damage.

Is that mere incompetence? If so, what are such incompetent people doing running a major psychiatric hospital and/or a major psychiatric prison?

To continue Mag. Dr. Burtscher's assessment:

"As no indication was given of which criteria for a paranoid personality disorder (9ICD-10 F60.0) were indicated in the records of Mr Hofschroer, then it is not possible for experts to check the assessments of 13.8.2013 and of 4.9.2017. These assessments lack the 'greatest possible revelation of the thought processes'."

Again, this makes Walzl's 'expert evidence' untenable and questions the competence and integrity of his colleagues in the Sigmund Freud Clinic and the JA Asten prison, as well as that of the high court in Graz.

Burtscher continues:

"The failure to use standard instruments of assessment leads to the "great probability" of an "unfavourable assessment". The minimal requirements for an assessment "and the minimal requirements for assessment of guilt were not met."

Can it get any worse? Yes, it can.

"Through a misinterpretation of a scientific article by Seto, Cantor and Blanchard (2006) in the 'Journal of Abnormal Psychology', [Walzl] states that Mr Hofschroer is strongly suspected of being a paedophile (F65.4). Correlation and cause have been confused here".

To add to this refutation of these serious allegations against Peter, Mag. Dr. Burtscher examines this issue earlier in this report and concludes that Peter "does not have a 'paedophile disorder'."

The same criticism applies to Puritscher's report, which largely repeats Walzl's.

This psychological assessment by Mag. Dr. Burtscher, a forensic psychologist in Austria, throws into question Peter's convictions in courts in England and Austria, as well as underlining his claim that the evidence was planted to discredit him and to get him out of the way so that both his mother's and his assets could be unlawfully seized, **which has now happened.**

Finally, the law under which Peter is being detained in Austria, section 21 of the criminal code, has been the subject of decisions made by the European Court of Human Rights, which considers this law a serious violation of human rights.

The Republic of Austria was given until April 2019 to change this law to comply with the ECtHR.

As with all European Court rulings on the abuse of human rights in institutional psychiatry, the Austrian authorities have ignored this decision as well.

This makes Peter's detention all the more unlawful. **As a result, we call for his immediate and unconditional release.**

IS PETER HOFCHRÖER A VICTIM OF TORTURE?

What Constitutes Torture?

The UN Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT) defines torture as:

Intentional infliction of severe pain and suffering (whether physical or mental) on a person.

For such purposes as:

Obtaining information or a confession from him or a third person;

Punishing him for an act he or a third person has committed or is suspected of committing;

Intimidating or coercing him or a third person for any reason based on discrimination of any kind.

The pain or suffering must have been inflicted by, at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or a person acting in an official capacity.

It does not include any pain or suffering deriving only from, inherent in or incidental to lawful sanctions.

Following on from CAT, Article 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) States that:

“No one shall be subjected to torture or to inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.”

Is Peter Hofschroer's Detention in Austria Torture?

There are three areas in which Peter Hofschroer's (PH) rights particularly under Article 3 of the ECHR have been systematically violated by the Austrian authorities. (These violations have in places overlapped with violations of other Articles). These areas are:

Imprisonment in a psychiatric institution without the examination required by the law of the offending country , Austria, and in the face of a legally valid psychiatric assessment that shows PH to be perfectly sane.

This is also a violation of Article of Articles 5 and 6 ECHR, as determined by decisions of the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) in cases such as Kuttner vs Austria.

This imprisonment is for an indefinite period.

The wilful and sustained withholding of necessary medical treatment, including urgent treatment.

The sustained and systematic blocking of communications. This is also a violation of Articles 8 and 10 ECHR.

These areas will be examined in turn.

Imprisonment in a Psychiatric Institution

Nobel laureate Alekzandr Solzhenitsyn wrote, "To put a sane person in a madhouse is worse than the gas chambers: the torture is more cruel, death takes longer."

The imprisonment of a sane person in a psychiatric institution alone is sufficient to meet the requirements of (1) of the CAT definition of torture.

In various court documents, it is made clear that PH is required to admit that he has committed certain criminal offences when he has demonstrably not committed them, before he can be so much as considered for release.

One court psychiatrist, University Professor Dr. Manfred Walzl, insists that PH needs "special treatment" to ensure that he makes a "confession".

That is sufficient to meet the requirements of (2) (a) of the CAT definition of torture.

As the courts, prison officials and medical staff are the instigators, they are clearly acting in an official capacity, so meeting the requirements of (3) of the CAT definition of torture.

As the sanctions being undertaken against PH are unlawful, then the imprisonment and the subsequent mistreatment are not part of the exclusions of (4) of the CAT definition of torture.

The Wilful & Sustained Withholding of Medical Treatment, including Urgent Treatment,

(NB - This outline is based on (incomplete) medical records obtained from the institutions in which PH has been/is being held)

On his transfer to the LKH Graz Süd-West on 17th November 2017, PH informed staff that he suffers from chronic rheumatoid arthritis. However, he was not offered the painkillers prescribed by the diagnosing hospital, the LKH Rottenmann. Instead, the record shows that in the following days and weeks, his refusal to take Risperdal is constantly noted.

(Risperdal is a medication designed to suppress the voices that schizophrenics hear. Its side-effects include Parkinsonism, restrictions to mobility and dribbling from the mouth. It is a "Zombie" drug with permanent effects).

As painkillers for the arthritis were being withheld, PH explicitly requested the appropriate medication on 28th November 2017. The duty doctor offered a medication other than that prescribed. PH refused to take an unspecified drug in case it was Risperdal.

On the 13th February 2018, the duty doctor noted that unless PH presented a danger to other patients, he could not be forced to take Risperdal. That night staff attacked and strapped down PH alleging he was "aggressive" and had "attacked" another patient.

The following morning, the ward doctor Dr. Maier informed PH of his intention to apply to the court for permission to forcibly inject him after the court hearing due on the 16th February 2018

PH then took part in a radio interview by telephone in which he outlined Maier's plans. The public outrage forced these plans to be dropped for the time being.

From 22nd March 2018, there is a record of PH requesting dental treatment with the staff being obstructive about arranging treatment.

Maier referred PH to a specialist in the hospital, who claimed that PH is not suffering from arthritis.

On 19th April 2018, PH complained "loudly" about the failure to treat the arthritis. He is told he does not have arthritis.

A further record of PH's requests for Tramadol is noted on 30th May 2018.

On 27th June 2018, PH again saw a specialist in the LKH Graz Süd – West, who again stated that PH did not need any treatment and there were no physical indications that PH had ever had arthritis. PH is however given a small dose of Tramadol to "keep him happy". The intention was to stop this after a short time.

PH's legs were also swelling up, but his requests for water tablets were constantly refused. However, by 28th July 2018, by which stage the swelling had become so serious a nurse decided to by – pass Maier's restriction on treatment and get permission from the duty doctor to treat PH.

Meanwhile, PH was allowed to receive a mild painkiller – Seractil – which was inadequate for the treatment of chronic rheumatoid arthritis and to which PH is allergic.

On 3rd July 2018, PH was taken to the dentist to have a filling replaced. The dentist denied a filling was missing.

On 2nd August 2018, an outside specialist "examined" PH and stated he was not suffering from arthritis.

However, PH was diagnosed with diabetes. (It was later established that PH has never had diabetes and his was a false diagnosis).

To sum up:

There are numerous entries noting PH's refusal to take Risperdal.

Painkillers and water tablets - were withheld, when it was clear and on record that PH was suffering from arthritis and water on the legs.

PH was also denied the necessary dental treatment.

This strongly indicates that necessary medical treatment was systematically withheld as a means of forcing PH to take medication that would have resulted in permanent brain damage.

Clearly the requirements of (2) (a) of CAT are not met here.

The records of the JA Asten show that on his arrival on 6th December 2018, PH informed staff of his medical issues – arthritis, dental problems, diabetes and an allergic reaction to Seractil.

Staff state that PH's claim not to have received the necessary medical treatment in Graz are 'not true'.

The records also note that PH was not given access to a doctor during his induction period of several days despite repeated requests. Staff also ignored PH's claim to be physically disabled.

In the following days, the record shows that PH made constant requests for treatment for arthritis, which was refused. Staff also claimed their checks with the pension's office indicated that PH is not in receipt of a disability pension, which is obviously not true.

This withholding of painkillers led to a serious relapse. Despite that, staff refused to treat him, bring him food and medication. This was on the instructions of the prison doctor who decided PH was imagining his chronic illness and disability.

PH was then sent to the punishment block and put in solitary for not being able to collect his food and medication.

Six weeks after his arrival, that is on 16th January 2019, PH was finally given the necessary painkiller.

The attempts to get urgent dental treatment were however unsuccessful. Staff refused to provide the necessary wheelchair for an appointment on 28th December 2018.

For the next appointment on 16th April 2019, transport was not provided, so the appointment was not kept.

Efforts to get an appointment in June 2019 were unsuccessful. Instead an appointment was made for 12th August 2019. This was more than a year since first requesting a replacement filling.

However, all that happened in this appointment was that a record of the work needed was made.

Finally, on 6th November 2019 one filling of the, by now, several needed was replaced but, on 22nd December 2019, it fell out. Although the pain was severe, staff refused to take PH for emergency treatment.

Staff offered PH Seractil for the pain knowing PH is allergic to it and that it would not alleviate the pain. They refused to provide a further dose of Tramadol, which would have helped.

Due to lack of treatment, the tooth became infected and had to be removed on 10th January 2020.

A further tooth was filled on 4th March 2020 and an appointment made for the end of May 2020.

With the increasing amount of treatment needed, it is likely to take several years for the treatment to be concluded.

Prison rules allow prisoners to spend their own money on private treatment. However, PH's request for permission was denied. It is clear that this behaviour is designed to deliberately cause unnecessary pain and discomfort over a long period, so clearly amounts to torture.

Furthermore, failure to provide immediate treatment is a violation of Article 3 ECHR.

To sum up:

The sustained denial of painkillers for a chronic medical condition to force PH to take drugs designed to cause permanent brain damage amounts to torture under the CAT definition.

This denial of painkillers was undertaken for several months in Graz and continued in Asten for several more weeks. This is clearly torture under the CAT definition of torture.

The refusal of emergency dental treatment and the lack of dental treatment for this condition for several weeks is also likely to come under the CAT definition of torture.

In any case, the long-term denial of adequate dental treatment is clearly a violation of Article 3 ECHR.

The Sustained Interference in Communications

(NB – The violations of Article 3 here overlap with violations of Article 8 and 10)

Interference in communications are subject to the requirements of the law, which has to be precisely formulated to protect those in psychiatric custody against official whim.

As there are no regulations for psychiatric custody in Austria, prison rules are often applied, the Strafvollzugsgesetz

Section 86 covers use of the telephone, sections 87 – 92 the use of the postal system.

The governing law, the ECHR, makes it clear that interference in communications can only be justified by the needs of national and economic security, as well as that of government institutions.

Section 86 indicates this is also the case in prisons.

Section 87 determines that postal confidentiality laws also apply in prisons. Only in exceptional circumstances may the authorities intercept communications, and professional matters are exempted from interception.

Section 90 indicates that the contents of the letters can be checked for contraband, but only random checks are allowed. Even then, the mental state of the sender/recipient has to be taken into account. The prison is obliged to inform the prisoner if any of his communications have been intercepted.

So, the law. How was this/is this (not) being applied in the Hofschröder case?

In the first stage of his psychiatric custody in November 2017, PH was not allowed to communicate with the outside world, not even with his lawyers. He was thus not able to tell his lawyers where he was. This was clearly unlawful.

In February 2018, PH was transferred from the reception ward to another ward. Here, he was allowed two phone calls a day out, and unrestricted calls in. He was required to open all letters and packages in front of staff so that any contraband could be intercepted. Staff did not read the communication and there was no censorship. The law was complied with here.

When PH was transferred to Asten, all communications except incoming letters were blocked. Concerned friends were allowed two calls with PH. He was however not allowed to contact lawyers. This situation continued for two months and was obviously unlawful.

PH was then allowed to send and receive letters, with all but legal and official letters being opened and read. PH was refused access to a phone. This was unlawful.

As PH was denied contact with his elderly mother, with whom he had previously spoken once a week, this was particularly distressing and clearly a violation of Article 3, 8 and 10 ECHR.

After six months, PH was allowed phone contact with two of his lawyers – one in Austria, one in the U.K. – as well as a monthly call to his mother. No other phone calls were allowed.

This was unlawful.

It became clear after a few weeks that PH's post was being systematically intercepted on a large scale. Lawyers' letters were being withheld or delivery refused and/or opened with documents being removed. Both official and private letters in both directions were being withheld. Certain court documents with a deadline were sat on until the deadline had expired.

Official correspondence indicates that PH's brother Robert Hofschröder was instructing judicial and prison officials here.

PH was also denied permission to subscribe to a newspaper as he was refusing to be treated for mental health issues he does not have.

Not just Austrian law was violated here, but also articles 3, 8 and 10 ECHR.

This has obviously caused PH both stress and distress. Prison officials made it clear that this interference would continue until PH is "compliant".

This clearly meets the CAT requirements of torture.

Peter Hofschröder is undoubtedly a victim of torture.

15th. March.

③

Thought I should mention to you that for some weeks now, one of the inmates has been stalking me. So far, he has threatened me with a hammer, a knife, a Kettle of boiling water and hit me twice. When he is not doing that, he spends all day following me around threatening me. Staff have refused to act. My suspicion is that they are hoping he will provoke me into an act of violence which will give them an excuse to give me the zombie treatment. No chance of me losing my temper.

The man clearly has a paranoid disorder at psychosis level and is obsessed with me. Keeping out of his way is impossible as his cell door is opposite mine.

I have made crime reports against the justice minister, etc. as they are responsible for the criminal offences being committed against me here. Let's hope action is finally taken!

That was it for this week!

Regards,
JETS

To: ANN MARLABY

PETER HOFBCHROER

Asten, 19th Feb 2020

Dear Jean,

An interesting item of news that missed the post this morning: the British Embassy phoned re your e-mail about the back of dental treatment here and said they would be writing to Kitzberger about this (and will no doubt swallow his lies). There was more to tell them than in your e-mail, so for the record, here is the full story.

The LKH Graz S-W refused all ~~a~~ necessary dental treatment while I was there, as the fillings that fell out were "part of my delusions".

When I arrived at the JA Asten in December 2018, I asked for the necessary dental treatment. This is not done in-house - one gets taken to the local hospital.

An appointment was made for December 2018. As the JA Asten refused to treat my arthritis, I had a relapse and could not walk, so asked for a wheelchair to be brought. As the official line here is that I do not have arthritis, this was refused, so we could not go for dental treatment. This was entered on the record as me "refusing to go."

A subsequent appointment was made for April 2019. As I was in pain, I requested private treatment at my cost, which I am allowed by prison regulations. This was refused.

(2)

In April 2019, the transport to hospital was delayed, so we missed the appointment. Again, the record was falsified, saying my "misbehaviour" delayed the matter.

The next appointment was ~~June~~ June 2019. By then there was so much work to do, the dentist merely made a record of what needed doing.

Finally, in November 2019, I got to the dentist. She only had time to fill one tooth. I persuaded prison staff to make a series of appointments at the earliest opportunity so that all the work could be done.

A series of appointments was made for February 2020.

At the end of December, the new filling fell out and I was in severe pain, ~~needed~~ needing urgent treatment. By law, I should have been taken to the nearest prison (Linz?) to have this done. Instead, I was left in severe pain until mid-January 2020. By then, the tooth had become infected, so had to be extracted.

A couple of days ago, I was told the February appointments had been cancelled and just one made for March.....

I've now been in constant pain of various degrees for a year and a half.....

In another piece of correspondence, Peter states:

“All my post from the past couple of weeks was returned to me – including court correspondence with deadlines – with the comment “No funds in prison account”. The law on that issue is very clear (see S92 (3) Strafvoltzegsgesetz): if the prison has no funds, the state covers the cost of postage. Moreover, once a prison official takes a letter marked “Fristsache” (urgent legal correspondence) the prison and the post office are obliged by law to deliver it a.s.a.p. If no, or insufficient, postage is paid, then if I have no funds, the prison is obliged to pay, or the post office charges the recipient.

As you can see, every effort is being made to block my communications.”

(This is most definitely our observations since he arrived at Asten. Incessant daily torture. He's a good kind man, and all he ever did was to try to protect his elderly mother from crime.)

This ongoing scenario regarding the violation of his Article 8 Rights under the ECHR started at Asten and has continued for the entire time that Peter has been held there. Some prisoners appear to be allowed phone calls, but not Peter. His treatment is an absolute disgrace and appears to be orchestrated throughout the Austrian justice system involving many people deliberately making life torturous for him – as if it is not bad enough for a sane intellectual to be held in a prison for the criminally and dangerously insane.

All those who are breaking international law, prison rules, and committing crimes against, not just Peter, but allegedly thousands of others in Austria, must surely realise that the Nuremberg Defence, will not be a defence at all.

Peter Hofschroeroer is, without any doubt, the undeserving victim of deliberately planned, continuous, persistent psychological and emotional abuse, not to mention abuse of the law, his human rights, and his rights as a prisoner in Austria. He has also experienced physical abuse in the form of denial of medical and dental treatment, assaults from both prisoners and staff, and psychological abuse as he is constantly being accused of things he has not done, punished for it, and having the tables turned on him by the real culprits.

Details of all of this, and the names of the participants in the joint criminal enterprise can be provided to the international law enforcement and intelligence agencies blind copied into this communication, upon request. These issues need to be examined at the highest levels, as **they pose a national security threat in a number of sovereign jurisdictions.**

All of this has been covered up by Drs. Stefula, Walzl and various identifiable indolent and deceitful Austrian 'professionals' who, despite all the documentary evidence to support Peter's claims, are determined to enable the carefully planned organised crimes to continue by wrongfully and unlawfully declaring Peter to be insane and incapable of legal discussion, or of controlling his own financial affairs. The enablement includes the appointment of a legal guardian in February 2018 after documented interference in judicial matters by one of the criminals, through which Peter's assets will be stripped.

As this exquisitely co-ordinated, systematic and planned abuse continues, despite numerous complaints to Austrian ministers and justice officials, we conclude that the Austrian judiciary and the government itself are orchestrating the abuse out of malice and vindictiveness because Peter exposed criminal abuses of the law in Austria. Perhaps something more sinister is the fact that Austrian officials are obliging transnational organised crime, for reasons best known to themselves.

That is to say that Peter and Barbara Hofschroer are victims of serious organised crime and, *either knowingly or unknowingly*, Austrian judges and other officials have aligned themselves with the criminals and are now working *in common purpose* with them to dispossess Peter and Barbara Hofschroer of their assets.

In fact, they have already done it. Is the plan now to dispose of Peter?

You simply cannot make up a story like this.

Please note that Robert Hofschroer and others, have already succeeded in liquidating assets, and taking control of them by infiltrating the Austrian legal system.

As you will have heard in the videos, Peter and his mother, Barbara, have been (and obviously still are) victims of fraud, theft, threats against their lives, organised harassment, false testimony, assault and battery, robbery, slander and libel - and even kidnap. The roots of the organised crimes committed against them are in the UK, and also involve cross-border crime and serious official wrongdoing in Austria and Germany.

There has been fraud on the court, and a number of other legal, human rights, abuses - **but Austria takes the prize for the organised institutional attempts at enforced disappearance, and the arbitrary detention, torture and outrageous abuse of psychiatry, and seemingly efforts to render Peter mentally disabled and/or murder him with drugs.**

The international psychiatric professional and legal professionals should be up in arms about this and take action to sanction the Austrians.

Austrians have gone out of their way to participate in crime, and we would like to know why.

Details of all of this, and the names of the participants in the joint criminal enterprise can be provided to the international law enforcement and intelligence agencies blind copied into this communication, upon request. These issues need to be examined at the highest levels, as **they pose a national security threat in a number of sovereign jurisdictions.**

Drs. Stefula and Walzl and the various identifiable indolent and deceitful Austrian 'professionals' who, despite all the documentary evidence to support Peter's claims, have been determined to enable and participate in the carefully planned organised crimes to continue by wrongfully and unlawfully declaring Peter to be insane and incapable of legal discussion, or of controlling his own financial affairs, need to be brought to book at the Hague.

The types of comments you will find on his records, made by psychiatrists are,

“He keeps talking about Lord Maginnis”,

implying that he is a babbling, mentally deranged lunatic, who is completely delusional about this confirmed fact. All the medical records are being twisted and contorted to cover up their criminal acts against Peter.

As you can see, Lord Maginnis is a very real advocate for Peter, and **he is asking for everyone's support for an investigation.**

PLEASE TAKE ACTION WITH THE EXPERTISE AND CONNECTIONS THAT YOU HAVE.

The modus operandi for this type of crime includes the appointment of a legal guardian to “handle the money.” The evidence shows that one of the criminals orchestrated this in Peter's case. As soon as they have killed off Peter with the drugs, the monies will be distributed. They are already in an Austrian account, having been transferred out of the UK courts.

Of particular concern to human rights activists should be the fact that we have been advised that, in Austria, a judge without any medical or psychiatric qualifications at all, and without any legitimate psychiatric examination of his victim, is allowed by the Austrian State to declare his victims insane and start proceedings for deprivation of liberty. The false psychiatric reports come *afterwards*, and are merely a formality – hence the 116.20 Euro word processing fee charged by the 'court expert witnesses'. This is unbelievable by any country's standards – even some corrupt African countries.

Everywhere except in the Austrian justice system, Peter Hofschroer is demonstrably perfectly sane. He has been judged to be a top-flight intellectual. He is an honours graduate from the prestigious King's College, London, an historian, multi-linguist, public speaker, and prolific author of highly acclaimed specialist reference books on the Napoleonic Wars. These books are used in universities around the world.

To wrongfully incarcerate him and carry out systematic torture and onslaughts of ongoing abuse, must be a crime against humanity. How many thousands have suffered under Austria's hand, even post war? Peter has fought back. Many don't have the skills to do so.

There is apparently no choice of legal counsel for those who cannot afford a lawyer in Austria. We are led to understand that, although the State will provide a lawyer, you get 'Hobson's choice', which is no choice at all. At least one of the government-funded lawyers in Peter's case refused to take instruction from Peter, and refused to launch an appeal on his behalf.

To incarcerate him with people like ***The Ice Lady*** and others who are perhaps like her, and to deprive him of any meaningful human contact, is deliberate psychological torment and overt cruelty that can only drive a sane person mad.....**besides which, Peter is only “mentally incompetent” and “incapable of handling his own financial affairs” in Austria. Anywhere else, he is sane and intellectually superior to most.**

Evil, State-sanctioned crimes are being committed against innocent people and their assets are being plundered. This is happening around the world but, in Austria's case, it is even more evil and no different from the way it was in the Soviet Union, or under Nazi Rule. Abuse of the psychiatric system for criminal and political purposes can only be organized on a state level in the manner that we have observed in this case.

This practice obviously has serious security implications at a global level and we know that, at least at a national level in the UK, serious organised crime has infiltrated every government department and is out of control. I will be happy to supply a Metropolitan Police Report on this situation, should anyone have any doubt that it can happen. It is the case in the UK, and it looks as if it is the case in Austria too. There are crime gangs operating in plain sight under the façade of Legitimacy. It has to be stopped NOW.

From what we have seen, without international intervention, death or insanity is imminent for Peter Hofschroer, and we need to make an example of Austria without delay. It appears that the Austrians have absolutely no intention whatsoever of ever releasing Peter Hofschroer—a peace loving historian and author of note who is devoted to his mother and the only thing he did 'wrong' was to try and protect her.

This must be one of the Europe's most newsworthy and shocking cases yet—even surpassing the Stiwooll Murders, in which case the infamous Professor Dr. Manfred Walzl's erroneous psychiatric assessment 'expertise' was again flawed, just as it was in the Elisabeth Fritzl case, and now in the Peter Hofschroer case with a state murder now looming in our faces.

Without access to the internet, yet ever resourceful considering that he writes, with arthritic hands, from *Bedlam* behind Asten Prison walls, and quite obviously is not lacking in mental capacity, Peter advised us of the following on 29th December 2019:

"....some Article 6 relevant information for you:

Not surprisingly, 80% of the 'forensic' psychiatric reports contain a wrong diagnosis. The Austrian justice ministry (BMJ) conceded this fact to the ECtHR in BSW 7997/08. A study undertaken at the behest of the BMJ revealed this (ref. BMJ-V70301/0061-III 1/2014, published January 2015).

Furthermore, 60% of the 'forensic' psychiatric reports do not comply with the minimum requirements of the law. Ergo S21 of the Austrian penal code (StGB - Strafgesetzbuch) is unlawful - it is a serious violation of human rights, and a swathe of Articles of the ECHR. And judges don't care.

So how can a "fair trial" as per Article 6 take place in a judicial system where 80% of the 'forensic' reports are wrong? A point worth publicising, I expect.

An aside - Austria is said to be the worst case in the EU. A recent reform of a similar law in Germany reduced the error rate to 60%. One psychiatrist in Germany was proud of the fact that only 50% of his reports are wrong.....excuse me—only 50%? Why have the expense of hiring a psychiatrist when tossing a coin will result in the same error rate?"

(Note from me: Why should the Austrian taxpayer have the expense of housing perfectly sane individuals in prisons and psychiatric institutes, allegedly at a cost of 50-60,000 Euros a head, especially if they are foreign nationals like Peter. One has to ask, "Who profits?".

In December 2019, an Austrian residence ban was imposed on Peter, giving him one month's notice to quit the country, but the prison and the justice system have kept him locked up so that he cannot leave. Apparently, the same thing has happened to a number of Asten inmates.

That, in itself is very cruel: to give a man hope by telling him to leave the country and then prevent him from doing so is quite unbelievable really.

How many foreign nationals is Austria detaining anyway? What are they? Terrorists mixed with writers and historians?

Please note that, every time efforts are made to help Peter Hofschroer and to bring the case to the attention of Austrian politicians and government officials, the prison officials, Dr. Herwig Nosko and his team at Asten Prison, **increase their efforts to punish and terrorise Peter**, and psychologically and emotionally torture him. This gang also has been habitually opening and reading Peter's LEGAL mail since day one, and holding it back from him - often for a month or more, and often sharing it with third parties.

One Austrian lawyer told me that they were allowed to do that by law and that it is perfectly acceptable to the State! How does that meet Article 6 of the ECHR? The same lawyer told me that the only other time that he had heard of such horrendous treatment was when the State was persecuting a spy.

The main purpose of this particular abuse is so that legal deadlines cannot be met in court by Peter, which is perverting the course of justice, and to make other judges conclude, therefore, that he is too mentally incapacitated to represent himself. There have been times when he could not represent himself in court because he had been deprived of his documents. The guards had taken him to court but would not allow him to take his documents. As a result, he did not speak to the judge, and was therefore assessed as being unable to represent himself. Asten prison does not even follow the prison rules.

On one occasion, he was taken to court in a snowstorm without shoes and coat, and assaulted by prison guards in transit. The guards turned the tables on him in a report and accused him of assaulting them. It seems to me that this type of occupation automatically attracts thugs and sadists as employees.

Is someone directing Dr. Herwig Nosko and his staff in this psychological torture, or does he do it of his own accord? Surely he must know the prison rules on correspondence?

In a letter dated 17th April, 2020, Peter Hofschroer states:

"I was handed a copy of a fax from the LG Steyr re the "annual review". The fax was dated 6th April, and there is a stamp on it indicating the fax was given to the governor on 6th April. By law, this fax should have been given to me immediately. Clearly, it was not.

The deadline I have to reply to this matter is 14 days. That is 20th April. Today, 17th April, is a Friday. The earliest I can put an item in the system for posting here is 20th April. It normally takes several days before it gets to the post office."

Imagine the stress this puts him under, and it is **constant** stress and anxiety. This is NOT an environment for any kind of healing or rehabilitation. It is a covert arena for cruel and deliberate punishment masked as a remedy. Austria, in this case, is still living in the dark ages.

He gives other examples, including:

"Nothing I have sent to the York solicitors handing my property return has ever arrived there – or from them and been given to me. Most of the correspondence from Leeds court in both directions has 'gone missing'. There is clearly a pattern here, particularly as my brother is doing his best to get the Austrian courts to seize the UK court funds." (note from me: the brother succeeded and, from my observations since Peter's instalment in Asten, it most definitely is a pattern and it is deliberate persecution of this man and criminal offences are being committed in the process).

On 28th May 2020, Peter writes:

“For a couple of weeks now, there have been indications that xxxx Herwig is interfering with the post to and from Prisoners Abroad. To remind you, they send freepost envelopes to prisoners abroad, who can use them to send post to Prisoners Abroad, who then forward it to UK addresses. So far so good and, in the months I have been using this service you kindly sourced for me, I have taken full advantage of this. Also, I have been sealing the envelopes.”

“At the end of April, I ran out of money for postage. According to the prison rules, in such an event, the prison has to pay. xxxx Herwig always refused to comply with the law here, and my post gets held up until my pension comes in at the beginning of the new month.”

“During this period end April, Nosko returned a Prisoners Abroad freepost envelope to me with the message ‘refused – no money in prison account’.”

“The next development in this saga was yesterday, when I was handed a copy of a receipt from the post office for post sent on 18th May 2020. This included five letters to the UK. As all my post to the UK goes into Prisoners Abroad freepost envelopes, that could not be, so I checked my postlog. On 5th May 2020, I did send an envelope to Prisoners Abroad with five letters to the UK, one to DP with a message for you. It looks like xxxx Herwig opened this letter (unlawfully) then decided not to use one of the freepost envelopes to send on the post he had read/copied, but to send each item individually and then charge this to my prison account. That is how petty xxxx Herwig can be.”

“Clearly, xxxx Herwig is doing all he can to delay and obstruct my post. Something is clearly worrying him.”

Peter did not receive any of the birthday cards that we know were sent to him.

On 1st June 2020, Peter writes:

“Now that I am locked up 23 hrs. a day, it is harder to find things to do to pass the time. I’ve not been allowed new books or a newspaper since I’ve been here, and refused the onerous and unlawful conditions attached to having a TV. I’ve now read each of the books I have at least ten times, so I’m beginning to learn them off by heart”

On 20th June 2020, Peter writes:

"A few days ago, I received a copy of the court order renewing my detention here."

"The decision was, of course, negative, but can be summed up as follows:

- (1) It does not matter if the "expert witness" has the right qualifications or not. Being registered as a court expert witness suffices. (not by law!!)*
- (2) It does not matter if she did not follow binding legal guidelines for conducting forensic psychiatric reports.*
- (3) It does not matter if she got her facts wrong.*
- (4) The court considers her opinion to be valid, so there!"*

"Having now read through all the material you kindly sent me, the following information on the unlawful entries to my house in Austria and the theft of the contents will hopefully be of use:

- (1) The most recent crime report was made on 12th June 2019 to the State Prosecutor (StA) in 8700 Leoben. Their file No. is 76BAZ577/19k (copy enclosed)*

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE ENVELOPE HAD BEEN CUT OPEN WITH A RAZOR BLADE AND RESEALED WITH TRANSPARENT OFFICE TAPE - AND THIS DOCUMENT, ALONG WITH OTHERS, HAD BEEN REMOVED – Dr. Herwig Nosko is presumed to be the culprit.

- 2) I was interviewed in this regard by local police from 4470 Enns on 29th August 2019. Their file No. is: PAD/19/01601119/002/KRIM. My rights under the Criminal Procedure Rules (§66(1) StPO) for written confirmation of the crime report and updates on the investigation were not complied with.*
- (3) The house was cleared out October/November 2019.*
- (4) On 22nd Feb 2020, the StA Leoben "no cormed" (? Illegible) the report (file ref. 3 St 19/20s).*
- (5) On 9th March 2020, I appealed the decision, referring to new evidence, which obliges the StA to reopen the case. To date, no reply."*

In the same letter, under 21st June 2020, Peter writes:

“I discovered this morning that the anti-psychotic Haldol is being surreptitiously added to my medication. I had a strong feeling last night that this was the case.”

“To explain: I was forcibly injected with this drug last week when in hospital. It caused hallucinations and strange dreams. That stopped when I left the hospital. Then it happened again last night. Either a flashback, I thought, or this is being administered in liquid form with the ground-up tablets I am given – painkillers, etc.”

“This morning, I established the latter is the case.”

“Without a court order, that is unlawful.”

Under 27th June, he writes:

“Am waiting for some more photocopies before sending this off. Heard a very disturbing story from a prisoner here – he is what one would describe as a ‘credible witness’. He tells me staff have been drugging and anally raping him. He made a crime report. Instead of the customary answer from the state prosecutor, ‘Kein Anfangsverdacht’ (‘no grounds for suspicion’), the crime report was entered, but not pursued as the perpetrators could not be identified.”

Peter writes, on 30th June - only just received:

"BTW, to give you an example of my situation, a couple of weeks ago, I was transferred to another department. I had my first friendly discussion with the psychiatrist, who told me that she was aware of the facts of my case, that I do not have mental health issues but, she said, 'A court order is a court order.' So there was nothing she could do. When I reminded her of her Anzeigepflicht, she said she would consider that."

"Two days later, five members of the 'Sondereinsatzgruppe' (known as the 'Sadistentruppe' here) attacked me while I was peacefully laying in my bed, handcuffed me, badly injured me, and dragged me to solitary, where they removed my trousers and underpants. I anticipated being raped."

"The next morning, I was taken to hospital. 'Too good to be true', I thought, 'I'm going to be treated for my injuries'. Wrong. We did not go to casualty, but to an obscure back entrance, where I was put in a lift (NB: 'elevator' for North Americans) that only goes to 'forensic psychiatry'. Here, despite my injuries and arthritis, I was strapped down for several days, and forcibly injected with Haldol without a court order. Only after a few days, when a new doctor came on duty, was I released. He had clearly examined the records (and Googled my name). He was not happy."

"He said I was clearly not psychotic, it was questionable that I have a mental disorder, and he wanted me out of his hospital a.s.a.p. The prison collected me within the hour. Phew! A very close shave to becoming a zombie."

"The 'friendly' psychiatrist then told me she was going to get a court order to forcibly inject me with Haldol."

From Asten, 30th June 2020, Peter writes:

"There are legal guidelines issued by Dr. Eckart Ratz (former president of the Austrian supreme court) - the 'WK-StGB' - which forensic psychiatrists are obliged to follow for their assessment to be lawful - 'aug dem neusten stand der Wissenschaft'. Invariably none of the so-called 'assessments' made of me in Austria met these requirements. Aktengutachten are not legally valid. My detention under S21 StGB is unlawful, and a breach of Articles 5 and 6 of the ECHR. All the officials involved in this case are well aware of the fact that their actions are criminal but, as they say, the law is not worth the paper it is printed on."

"I am not being held under preventive detention, but under Paragraph 21, sub-section 1 (21 (1) StGB criminal law). I can be held as long as necessary to 'cure' me - i.e. for life."

"The first judge to declare me to lack mental capacity was Dr. Martin Stefula, then at the provincial court in Leoben. This was in July 2012, after applying for the case for cancelling the trust agreement on my house to be re-opened. (The original hearing was in June 2011, but I was not considered to lack capacity until July 2012. I reopened the case on the basis of new evidence, i.e. Lord Maginnis' statements in the House of Lords in May 2012. Until I quoted Lord Maginnis, I had capacity (!!)"

"All subsequent court hearings have followed this incapacity ruling."***

This is because all subsequent psychiatrists are not conducting their own assessments either, but merely following the records and creating a report from that of others, most likely on the orders of the judiciary and prosecutor.

**Hear what Dr. Nils Melzer, UN Special Rapporteur on Torture for the UN,
has to say about deception and people's assumptions.**

<https://consortiumnews.com/2020/07/13/watch-not-in-our-name/>

**The busiest of court expert psychiatrists is the Graz university professor Manfred Walzl:
"If an expert shoots out 365 psychiatric reports in 365 days, something just can't be right
with the quality," says Meini-Reisinger.**

<https://www.diepresse.com/4742839/jeden-tag-ein-gutachten-ndash-und-als-oberarzt-tatig>

Please see: <https://www.news.at/a/fakten-in-gutachterfalle-10241069>

Dr. Walzl and the Stiwoll murders:

<https://grandmabblog2018.wordpress.com/2018/10/31/dr-walzl-and-the-stiwoll-murders-lkh-graz-sud-west-grandma-b-blog/>

In a letter dated 21st March 2020, Peter states:

“There have been developments with the idiot that staff are allowing to stalk me:

a couple of days ago, I was told that, if I keep complaining, I will be locked in my room as a punishment. This has now happened – I get locked in every evening until the next morning.”

“The mental state of the idiot stalking me has deteriorated further, going from a mere paranoid obsession to a psychosis. He now makes manic facial expressions and hand signs when he sees me, as well as rocking up and down in his chair. It will not be very long before he makes a serious attack on me – he has already threatened me with a knife and a hammer.”

“It seems that this is what XXXX Herwig wants – a violent episode as an excuse to give me the liquid cosh. That is one of the reasons I now write once a week. If there is a (long) gap in communications, you will know something has happened.”

“Did I ever mention the story of Gerd Postel to you? He is a postman and a conman who bluffed his way into senior positions in a psychiatric institution. Nobody noticed he was a conman. He was only exposed when, by coincidence, people he knew turned up in the hospital and recognised him.”

One of the most serious problems with criminal defamation laws is that a conviction may lead to the imposition of a serious sanction and that the journalist concerned (it is often the media who fall victim to these laws) will gain a criminal record. This is by no means a trivial sanction and may have serious repercussions in terms of that journalist's future work and employment. Even a suspended sentence can have a serious chilling effect on the right to freedom of expression, since the person concerned will think twice before making critical or controversial statements.

This hinders the media's functioning as a 'public watchdog' which is clearly not in the public interest. In the very first defamation case before the European Court of Human Rights, the Court considered that even a minor fine was a serious matter. Austria has such a law, and perhaps this is one of the reasons that these abuses of the psychiatric system have been hidden from the world for so long. Peter advised that, when he was first imprisoned in July 2017, some 10% of the inmates were journalists and those who opposed the state agenda.

In Austria, the law for “offending” a public official is a criminal law, and the sentence is three months and a daily fine, as far as I am aware. Peter's exposure of public wrongdoing is another reason why they want him put away for life.

Not only was it wrong of Britain to extradite Peter, but North Yorkshire Police were alleged to have been seen in private discussion with Judge Alan Fraser Wilkie at the Royal Courts of Justice, just prior to his making a judgment in Peter's extradition case. Austria, on its part, NEVER extradites its own citizens.

Why did Britain oblige after the alleged discussion between the judge and North Yorkshire Police - who had nothing to do with the case officially? Why did they attend every extradition hearing, travelling all the way to London from North Yorkshire? North Yorkshire Police is the force that perpetrated crimes against Peter and his mother, along with other public officials intent on facilitating the theft of Barbara's home.

An inspector from North Yorkshire Police is known to have been in communication with the Austrian judiciary, passing false information, and we believe this to be another cause of Peter's problems in Austria. The story is intense and complicated but there is enough evidence to present to the Crown Prosecution Service in the UK. All of the organised crime in this case has been, and is still being, orchestrated by criminals in the UK, but it leads to Austria and Germany too.

Please see this freedom of information request.

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/appeal_hearing_hofschroer_v_aust#comment-83177

See more here: <https://grandmabblog2018.wordpress.com/media-coverage-grandma-b-story/>

Should anyone not believe that there is organised racketeering by legally qualified criminals, I say that it is happening all over the world.

Please see this video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sHyBw9l6QuY&t=10m>

Statements and information provided in this document represent the truth as I believe it to be at the time of writing and, with substantial other documentation available to me, I believe that at least some Austrian officials may have knowingly aligned themselves with transnational criminal activity.

As a result, it is incumbent upon me as a law-abiding citizen of the international community to bring this matter to everyone's attention and ask that there please be a full investigation into the case, **In The Public Interest**. Various international law and intelligence agencies will be sent this report, and I suggest that the Austrian State would be criminally negligent not to take prompt and affirmative action to release Peter Hofschroer. So far, despite numerous communications from me, no Austrian official has even acknowledged my communications in three years.

Peter Hofschroer can accept visitors and possibly provide statements by video, provided they have not already killed him. Check before you go. I suggest that you visit with the utmost haste.

For more information and to offer to help us get justice for Peter, please contact me.
I shall be pleased to write an article for publication, if I am sent a copy of the writers' guidelines.

Jean James
jeanjames@telus.net