**Diary of the Incidents of Harassment of Paul & Barbara Hofschröer and their carer Peter Hofschröer by Robert, Diane & Martin Hofschröer, North Yorkshire Police & the City of York Council**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No | Date | Time | Description of Incident | Witnesses / Documents | Comments |
| 1 | Background |  | Paul and Barbara Hofschröer lived at 74 Rosedale Avenue, York. They have two children Robert and Peter. Robert has two children, Diane and Martin, who all live in Acomb, York, close to Paul and Barbara Hofschröer. Peter lives in Austria. In 2007, Robert fraudulently deceived Barbara and Paul into transferring ownership of their house to Robert, Diane and Martin on the basis that:1. Peter lived far away and could not look after them in their old age, whilst they lived very close to the parental home in 74 Rosedale Avenue, and would look after them in their old age.
2. Barbara and Paul would be allowed to live in it for the rest of their lives or until the house was no longer suitable for them.
3. Diane and Martin would hold 25% of the house each in trust for Peter.

This was recognised in the License Agreement transferring the property, which included a clause requiring the house to be sold to pay for sheltered accommodation should any future decline in Paul’s and Barbara’s health require this. Diane and Martin convinced Peter to transfer his house in Austria to them on the basis that they would hold it in trust for Peter.74 Rosedale Avenue is currently worth about £200,000 in today’s market. | Transfer, Licence |  |
| 2 | April 2008 |  | Paul was admitted into hospital seriously ill. The hospital staff said it would be necessary for Paul to go into a care home. Robert and Diane refused to allow him to do so and insisted Barbara should look after him at home. This was because they did not want to depreciate the estate with care costs. | Barbara, Peter | NeglectBreach of the agreement by which the house was transferred to them |
| 3 | ??.04.2008 |  | Peter heard his father Paul is seriously ill and had been taken to hospital and drives to York. When he arrives there from his home in Austria, he is shocked to find his mother Barbara’s minor leg injury has become very serious due to neglect by Robert. It is clear to Peter that Robert and his family have abandoned his parents so he stays for a month until Barbara is well enough to be left alone, then returns home. | Medical records | Neglect Breach of the agreement by which the house was transferred to them |
| 4 | 18.05.2008 | 12.00 | Robert asks Barbara to disclose the whereabouts of her savings. She refuses. Robert e-mails Peter that day with the same question. | Barbara. e-mail | Financial abuseBeginning of campaign by Robert to obtain his parents’ savings by deception and harassment |
| 5 | 25.05.2008 | 12.00 | Robert asks Barbara to disclose the whereabouts of her savings. She again refuses. Robert e-mails Peter that day with the same question. | Barbara. e-mail | Financial abuse |
| 6 | 22.06.2008 | 20.00 | Peter returns from Austria, as Paul is due to be sent home from hospital the next day after 7 weeks of serious illness. Barbara contacts Robert and his family and asks them to come around to discuss how Paul is now going to be cared for. Robert, his wife Shirley and daughter Diane attend meeting in Rosedale Avenue. They refused to discuss the subject and their only offer of help was to tell Barbara she should not run around after her bed-ridden incontinent 87 year old husband of sixty years. The family were unable to come to an agreement on how to care for Paul. Barbara told them to leave. On her way out, Diane called Barbara a “**stupid old bitch**”.At this point, it was clear that Robert and his family were not going to look after Paul and Barbara and Peter knows Barbara cannot cope, so he became their full-time carer. | Peter, Barbara. | Peter becomes full time carerVerbal and emotional abuse. Robert, Shirley and Diane had reneged on the agreement to look after Paul and Barbara, which was a Breach of trust. Emotional abuseRobert & family take no further part in their care and have effectively abandoned Barbara and Paul at this point. |
| 7 | 22.06.2008 | 20.00 | By deceiving Paul & Barbara into transferring the house to them on the basis that 1. They would look after them in their old age and then abandoning them as soon as they needed care;
2. They could live in the house for as long as they wanted to, then trying to sell the house while Barbara was abroad;

Robert, Diane and Martin Hofschröer committed an offence of Fraud under sections 2 and 4 of the Fraud Act, 2006. |  | Serious Criminal Offence of defrauding his parents of property worth approximately £200,000 |
| 8 | 29.06.2008 |  | Peter informs Robert that Paul has had a relapse and as readmitted to hospital seriously ill. Robert does not acknowledge this. Neither Robert nor his family visit Paul or Barbara.  | e-mail | Emotional abuse and neglect |
| 9 | 07.07.2008 |  | Robert sends Barbara a letter threatening legal action if she does not hand over her savings. | Letter | Financial abuse |
| 10 | 07.07.2008 |  | Robert’s demand for his Mother (who was a confused and frightened 79 year old) to hand over her life savings to him under threat of legal action was a serious criminal offence of Making an Unwarranted Demand with Menaces. In this context, it is very significant that Robert, Diane and Martin Hofschröer have never given any justification as to what claim he has against his mother's savings, or why she should hand them over to him, or what he wants to do with them when he gets them. | Letter | Demanding money with menaces |
| 11 | 11.07.2008 |  | Diane sends abusive e-mail to Peter, stating she wished Peter would return to Austria. | e-mail | Harassment of Barbara’s carer to make him leave and abandon control of the savings to Robert, Diane and Martin. 1 |
| 12 | ??.08.2008 |  | It is clear to Peter that his parents home 74 Rosedale Avenue, which has only one toilet upstairs is completely unsuitable for the needs of his parents, who are both incontinent and they need to move. Peter finds suitable sheltered accommodation for his parents at Fairfax Court and after discussion wit his parents, puts 74 Rosedale Avenue on the market to finance the purchase of the flat. The flat is half way between Robert’s house and Diane’s house and Peter is still hoping they will play a role in the care of Paul and Barbara. Robert contacts Halifax estate agents without reference to his parents to block the sale of house, thereby preventing the financing of suitable sheltered accommodation for Peter and Barbara. | Letter on file at Halifax | Financial and emotional abuseBreach of ContractBreach of Trust |
| 13 | 28.08.2008 |  | Peter sends Robert e-mail requesting he visit Paul and Barbara to discuss the situation. Robert initially refuses to attend. | e-mail |  |
| 14 | 03.09.2008 | 20.00 | Robert, Diane and Martin visit Rosedale Avenue to discuss the housing problem. Robert avoids eye-contact and covers his face with his hand. He states that all the conversation should be directed through Diane. Robert demands to know where Mum has her savings. Diane is abusive, screaming that she hates Peter. She is so agitated she broke the chair she was sitting on. They nevertheless agree to let the sale of the house go ahead. Peter puts the house on the market again. | Peter, Barbara | Financial, verbal and emotional abuse Harassment of Barbara’s carer to make him leave and abandon control of the savings to Robert, Diane and Martin 2 |
| 15 | ??.09.2008 |  | Robert again contacts Halifax and blocks the sale of Rosedale Avenue without consulting his parents. Barbara is very upset, as neither she nor Paul can cope with the stairs any more, the toilet facilities were inadequate and she was looking forward to moving to the sheltered flat at Fairfax Court that she had viewed and considered suitable. | Letter on file at Halifax | Financial and emotional abuseBreach of ContractBreach of TrustNeglect |
| 16 | 15.09.2008 |  | Barbara phones Diane to ask why the sale has been blocked. Diane states they would only allow the house to be sold once they had access to the savings. Diane repeatedly screams “We want to know where you money is!” | Barbara, Petere-mail of complaint to Diane | Harassment by Diane Continuation of campaign by Robert, Diane and Martin to obtain Paul and Barbara’s savings |
| 17 | 19.09.2008 |  | Diane phones Rosedale Avenue and shouts at Peter telling him to: “**F\*\*k off out of England!”** | Peter | Harassment of Barbara’s carer to make him leave and abandon control of the savings to Robert, Diane and Martin. 3Criminal Offence of use of insulting Words and Behaviour |
| 18 | 20.09.2008 |  | Diane phones again, but hangs up when Peter answers. | Peter |  |
| 19 | 21.09.2008 |  | Peter e-mails PC Graham Cooper to ask for advice on dealing with the fraud and harassment. | e-mail |  |
| 20 | 22.09.2008 |  | Barbara phones Martin (grandson) in an attempt to resolve the dispute. He demands she hands over her savings to Robert. | Barbara, Peter | Financial, abuse.Continuation of campaign by Robert, Diane and Martin to obtain Paul and Barbara’s savings  |
| 21 | 24.09.2008 |  | PC Cooper replies stating the fraud is a civil matter and the harassment, as it is coming from the family is not “straightforward”. | e-mail | Breach of duty |
| 22 | 25.09.2008 |  | Robert and Diane allege to the Police Peter has stolen his parents’ life savings. PC 1827 Lisa Farringdon investigates and immediately establishes the accusation is false, that Mrs Hofschröer is well cared for by Peter and “in good order”. Peter is exonerated.Police fail to arrest Robert Hofschröer for wasting Police time. | Peter, BarbaraPC Farringdon | Harassment of Barbara’s carer to make him leave and abandon control of the savings to Robert, Diane and Martin. 4 |
| 23 | 02.10.2008 |  | Becky Wilkinson of York Social Services visits Rosedale Avenue, informs Peter and Barbara there is a case of abuse to investigate. Peter suggests mediation to resolve the dispute. Becky agrees to organise this.  | Peter and Barbara  | Peter tries to obtain amicable resolution |
| 24 | 04.10.2008 |  | Mum phones Diane to ask for date of her great-grandson’s birthday. Diane continually shouts at Barbara and hangs up, shouting “I never, never, never want to talk to you again”, while banging on the table. Contact with Barbara’s great-grandson is cut off permanently, causing Barbara considerable distress. | Peter and Barbarae-mail | Verbal and emotional abuseEmotional blackmail |
| 25 | 17.10.2008 |  | PC 867 Victoria Lowery meets with Peter and agrees to warn Robert and Diane about the abuse. | PC 867 LoweryPeter |  |
| 26 | 06.11.2008 |  | Mark Bednarski of York Social Services visits Rosedale Avenue and agrees to arrange mediation. He did not reveal that his line manager Sophie Tweed had already decided against this. | Barbara and Peter Mark Bednarski |  |
| 27 | 12.11.2008 |  | Mark Bednarski meets Robert. | Fax |  |
| 28 | 14.11.2008 |  | Mark Bednarski cancels application for sheltered housing without informing Paul, Barbara or Peter. | Social Services fileCorrespondence with CYCMark Bednarski | Neglect |
| 29 | 15.11.2008 |  | Peter asks Mark Bednarski for news on the mediation. | e-mail | Peter is still trying to obtain amicable resolution |
| 30 | 19.11.2008 |  | Mark Bednarski replies, stating that Social Services will not be organising mediation, as this affair is not their problem. | e-mail | Neglect |
| 31 | 21.11.2008 |  | Mark Bednarski sends a series of e-mails requesting Robert should have unsupervised access to Paul and Barbara for his first visit for several months. Peter was concerned at this request because Robert and his family abandoned Paul and Barbara months before and had previously been verbally insulting and abusive them, which caused them great distress. | e-mails | Neglect |
| 32 | 28.11.2008 |  | Mark Bednarski visits Rosedale Avenue without an appointment and asks for Robert to have unsupervised access to Paul and Barbara, which Peter refused to consent to because of Robert’s history of being verbally abusive to his parents. Mark Bednarski initially refused to leave when asked. He kicks the front door on his way out and tries to regain access by constantly ringing the bell. He only leaves when Peter says he will call the Police.Mark Bednarski is a martial arts expert. | Peter and Barbara are completely clear that that no force or insult was offered to Mark Bednarski.  | Harassment of Peter by Mark BednarskiCommon assault by Mark Bednarski |
| 33 | 28.11.2008 |  | Peter Hofschröer makes formal complaint about Mark Bednarski to his line manager Sophie Tweed. Sophie Tweed later transpires to be a Director of a bankrupt company and is not an employee of York Council, but was just doing some temporary work there. | e-mail |  |
| 34 | 04.12.2008 |  | Sophie Tweed responds aggressively and falsely alleges Peter assaulted and used insulting words and behaviour against Mark Bednarski. She illegally blocks the Stage 1 investigation of Peter’s complaint requested at (33). CYC subsequently investigates Bednarski’s allegations and establishes they were unfounded. CYC then withdraws the letter and apologises for it, but decline to take any action against Mark Bednarski or Sophie Tweed. Bednarski withheld the information that he is a martial arts expert from CYC investigation. | Letter | Harassment of Barbara’s carer |
| 35 | ??.12.2008 |  | Court grants Peter Lasting Power of Attorney over Paul. |  | Peter’s position as sole carer and Guardian is recognised by the Court.  |
| 36 | 23.12.2008 |  | Anne Bygrave writes to Peter claiming different social workers have been allocated to the case. However, the record shows inappropriate e-mail contacts between Mark Bednarski, Sophie Tweed and Robert Hofschröer in January 2009, discussing how York Social Services could help Robert have Peter’s Power of Attorney withdrawn from him and passed to Robert.  | e-mailSocial Services file | Mark Bednarski and Sophie Tweed try to assist Robert to obtain control over Barbara and her savings, at a time when they knew Robert was the subject of allegations of abuse and had abandoned her. |
| 37 | 23.12.2008 |  | Copies of this e-mail correspondence were sent to Anne Bygrave, Ralph Edwards and Anne Tidd by Mark Bednarski. These matters had nothing to do with York Council or improving the care of Barbara and Paul. Robert Hofschröer is closely associated with York Council because he is runs the York Deaf Society, which is a Council funded organisation for deaf people in York. In this respect, York Social Services have exceeded their authority and appear to be trying to assist Robert in forcing out Peter, so that he can continue his campaign to obtain his parents’ savings. | e-mailSocial Services file | Continuation of campaign by Robert, Diane and Martin to obtain Paul and Barbara’s savings.  |
| 38 | 25.12.2008Christmas Day | 12.00 | Robert, Diane, her boyfriend Kevin, and Martin arrive outside 74 Rosedale Avenue at the time they know Xmas lunch is being served and demand entry. Peter refuses entry at Barbara’s request. Diane shouts abuse through the letter box and rings the doorbell repeatedly. The 999 call operator remarks on the noise she is causing. Robert bangs on window. Police are called to remove them. Only when a Police officer shows them a request to leave signed by Barbara in the Police officer’s notebook would they go. The Police later claim that Peter ran out of the house and caused a breach of the peace and that they interviewed his neighbours, who supported their claim. The neighbours are willing to go to court to refute this claim.Emergency Doctor is called to see Paul. | Peter, Barbara999 operatorRecording of 999 callDoctor | Harassment of Paul, Barbara and Peter by Robert & familyBreach of the PeaceUse of insulting words and behaviour1st false allegation by NYP against Peter HofschröerPaul is very distressed by incident. |
| 39 | 02.01.2009 | 09.00 | Dr Bush visits Paul. He informs Barbara and Peter that Paul has only days to live. Peter immediately e-mails Robert notifying him of the Doctor’s opinion and inviting him to visit his father and make his peace with him. Robert does not attend. | Dr BushPeter, Barbarae-mail | Despite everything, Peter decently offers Robert an opportunity to see his Father for the last time. |
| 40 | 03.01.2009 | 04.0010.00 | Paul dies. Peter and Barbara have been up all night at his bedside. Peter and Barbara are exhausted having had many nights of lost sleep tending to him and emotional stress from his obvious terminal decline.Robert, Diane and Martin arrive with a Police escort. [Police have no reason to be there, given that Peter invited Robert to see his father.] Robert forces his way in and pushes Barbara, who was just walking out of the living room. Peter stands between them to prevent him from injuring her. PC Brocken pushes Peter out of the way and threatens to arrest him. On the female Police officer’s signal, Diane and Martin charge into the house against Peter’s expressed wishes. WPC Lowery takes Barbara into the living room, but returns to the dining room on hearing Diane, Martin and Robert shouting. Robert leaves the house, brandishes his fist at Barbara and Peter, saying “I’ll get you”, being a threat to do violence to Barbara and Peter which Peter believes could have been fatal to is mother. Diane and Martin follow him out, having called Barbara a “wicked woman” and a “wicked witch”. They did not let Barbara explain what had happened to Paul, did not enquire, did not express any condolences or sympathies to Barbara on Paul’s death and other than make threats and bawl abuse, said nothing else.Both Constables subsequently allege that Robert and Martin abused Barbara in written evidence to the IPCCAs a result of this, Barbara no longer wishes to have anything further to do with Diane and Martin. | Death CertificateDoctor BushPeter and Barbara Police report states Diane and Martin were told to stay in the car and they disobeyed Police directions not to enter the house. Police report confirms there was shouting. Clearly this behaviour showed no respect for Paul or the feelings of Barbara and Peter. | Common assault2nd false allegation by NYPPolice fail to arrest Robert Hofschröer for common assault. Police fail to arrest Diane and Martin Hofschröer for obstructing a Police Officer in the Execution of his Duty.Verbal and emotional abuse.Threatening behaviour Use of insulting words and behaviourEND OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BARBARA, DIANE AND MARTIN |
| 41 | 07.01.2009 | 10.30 | Peter and Barbara go to York Registry Office to register Paul’s death. Robert leaves his work at Council premises, stands outside the office window, raises his fist and says, “I’m going to get you”, being a threat to do violence to Peter. Peter was in fear for his life. The Registrar dialled 999 and asked Police to attend. Fortunately Barbara was in the car and did not witness this. PC Jonathan Mansell attends, has a full report given to him by the Registrar, but does not arrest Robert.  | PeterRegistrar999 call operatorRecording of 999 call PC Mansell | Common assaultBreach of the PeaceThreatening BehaviourHarassment of Barbara’s carer by Robert on Council property to make him leave and abandon control of the savings to Robert, Diane and Martin 5Police again fail to arrest Robert Hofschröer Neglect of Duty |
| 42 | 17.01.2009 | 12.00 | Paul’s funeral. Robert and family attend against Barbara’s wishes. The priest talks to Robert and his family expressing his hope there can be reconciliation. Robert, Diane and Martin ignore Peter and Barbara, do not speak to them and do not even offer their condolences. | Priest, funeral guests | Emotional abuse |
| 43 | 19.01.2009 | 20.00 | Robert attempts to gain entry to 74 Rosedale Avenue without prior agreement and against Barbara’s wishes, using a spare key. Peter reports this to the Police, but they do not respond.Following the events of 3 January, this frightens Barbara.  | Barbara, Peter | Harassment of Barbara by RobertNeglect of Duty |
| 44 | 04.02.2009 |  | Peter gets Lasting Power of Attorney for Barbara. | LPA | Court recognises Peter as sole carer of Barbara |
| 45 |  |  | Robert is seen regularly driving past Rosedale Avenue, which is out of his way and where he has no cause to be. He slows down at No. 74. Barbara is again frightened, as she fears a repeat of the events of 3 January. Subsequently Robert confirms he has been monitoring their movements. | Peter – report to Police | Harassment of Barbara by Robert |
| 46 | January – June 2009 |  | 74 Rosedale Avenue has no toilet on the ground floor. Family solicitor attempts to arrange meeting between Barbara and Robert to discuss the alterations necessary to make Barbara’s house suitable for a disabled person with continence problems. Robert first agrees, Peter obtains an estimate for the works to put install a ground floor toilet where the kitchen is currently, then build an extension for a new kitchen and a conservatory which came to £80,000. Robert then drags out discussion then refuses to go ahead with it. Peter e-mailed Robert on 28 January. Robert’s response was to have his solicitor send Peter a threatening letter, to which Barbara’s solicitor replied. Robert agreed to let the alterations go ahead on 5 March. He then failed to respond to requests to meet to discuss this (see 55). | Correspondence with solicitor  | Emotional abuseComplete lack of care for the living conditions of Barbara by Robert |
| 47 | 18.06.2009 |  | Jane Roberts of Social Services gives confidential medical information on Barbara to Robert after Barbara had explicitly denied York Social Services permission to do so. CYC refuses to give Barbara a copy of this letter. | Letter | Breach of Data Protection Act. Harassment of Barbara by RobertBreach of TrustBreach of Medical ConfidentialityBreach of Freedom of Information Act |
| 48 | 03.07.2009 |  | CYC holds a Safeguarding Meeting on Barbara without her or Peter’s knowledge. Those present include PC Graham Cooper, Caroline Ablett, Mike Hodgkiss and Glen McCluster. They find no abuse is taking place, but agree to meet again in September 2009. | Record of Event – supplied by OPG |  |
| 49 | 03.07.2009 |  | CYC’s Senior Solicitor Glen McClusker writes to Peter threatening unspecified legal action because Peter complained about their handling of this case. Peter carries on complaining. No legal action is initiated against Peter by CYC because Peter has not committed any offence. |  | Harassment of Peter by CYC |
| 50 | 17.07.2009 |  | Inspector Moreton acknowledges Peter’s complaint about Bednarski and Tweed, stating he will reply the following week. | e-mail |  |
| 51 | 17.07.2009 |  | Peter replies to Moreton giving more information and asking if there are grounds to press criminal charges against officers of CYC. Moreton replies on 20.07.2009 (see below) | e-mail |  |
| 52 | 19.07.2009 |  | Peter takes Barbara away for a holiday to help her recover from her fear and distress. They visit family and friends in Germany and Austria, visit Tim Hicks in Luxembourg, then stay for a while in Peter’s former home in Austria before returning. Barbara enjoys this and expresses the hope that they can do this regularly. |  |  |
| 53 | 20.07.2009 |  | Moreton replies to Peter’s complaints, stating “I do not believe that there are sufficient grounds to consider a criminal investigation.” | e-mail | Refusal to take action against Robert Hofschröer although his actions are contrary to the Fraud Act |
| 54 | 30.07.2009 |  | Emma Evans of CYC Housing suspends Barbara’s application for sheltered housing without telling her, because she is away from home on holiday. | e-mail | Denial of Service |
| 55 | 03.08.2009 |  | Robert refuses to allow alterations to go ahead to Rosedale Avenue to put in a ground floor toilet on the basis of the report illegally supplied to him by York Social Services, which he asserts, states her accommodation is adequate. CYC refuses to supply a copy of this document. | Letter | Harassment of Barbara by RobertEmotional AbuseNeglectBreach of Data Protection Act |
| 56 | 06.08.2009 |  | Kay Robinson writes to Barbara, refusing to supply documents missing from Barbara’s Social Services file | e-mail | Breach of Freedom of Information Act |
| 57 | 15.08.2009 |  | Inspector Moreton finally responds, but evades the issues raised on the basis that it is a “family dispute” | e-mail | Refusal to take action against Robert Hofschröer although his actions are contrary to the Fraud Act |
| 58 | 16.08.2009 |  | Peter responds to Moreton outlining the criminal offences he believes officers of CYC have committed.  | e-mail |  |
| 59 | 20.08.2009 |  | Peter provides Moreton with further evidence that officers of CYC are acting in common purpose with Robert to defraud Barbara. Moreton does not respond. | e-mail | Refusal to take action against Robert Hofschröer although his actions are contrary to the Fraud Act |
| 60 | 07.09.2009 |  | Mike Hodgkiss of CYC writes to Peter refusing to put the Harassment Diary of Peter and Barbara’s evidence before the Safeguarding Conference to prevent any members of CYC being criticised by the conference. “Conference will not be dealing with the previous safeguarding investigation or the allegations involving officers of CYC.” Subsequently, Mr Hodgkiss admitted that there had been no previous safeguarding conference and that he had lied to withhold evidence from the safeguarding investigation into allegations of criminal offences against Robert Hofschröer. | e-mail | Refusal to take action against Robert Hofschröer although his actions are contrary to the Fraud Act and CYC and NYC Safeguarding Policy |
| 61 | 08.09.2009 |  | Peter sends Harassment Diary to Moreton, cc to Chief Constable, asking why Moreton is refusing to put it before the investigation. | e-mails |  |
| 62 | 09.09.2009 |  | Bill Hodson of CYC refuses to undertake OT assessment the ascertain Barbara’s current mobility problems | e-mail Bill Hodson | Denial of Service |
| 63 | 09.09.2009 |  | Bill Hodson of CYC refuses to hold a Case Conference | e-mail Bill Hodson | Refusal to take action against Robert Hofschröer although his actions are contrary to the Fraud Act, CYC and NYC Safeguarding Policy |
| 64 | 09.09.2009 |  | Bill Hodson of CYC refuses to give assurances that no further breaches of Barbara’s confidentiality will take place. | e-mail Bill Hodson |  |
| 65 | 09.09.2009 |  | Bill Hodson of CYC refuses to confirm that Peter Hofschröer is Barbara’s carer | e-mail Bill Hodson |  |
| 66 | 10.09.2009 |  | CYC cancel safeguarding case conference at last minute due to “sickness”. The social workers “off sick” answer e-mails. | e-mails |  |
| 67 | 24.09.2009 |  | CYC agree to hold a joint safeguarding conference with NYP to determine if Mrs Hofschröer is being abused by their colleague Robert Hofschröer. Mike Hodgkiss of CYC writes to Peter refusing to allow Barbara or Peter to give evidence in person to the conference or to put their written evidence in the form of a Harassment Diary before the Safeguarding Conference because he alleged that it covered ground covered in a previous conference. This is contrary to CYC policy which states that all information relating to the case should be considered to have a complete picture (P32) and “*The alleged victims views should be heard*” (P40) Subsequently, Mr Hodgkiss admitted that there had been no previous safeguarding conference.The meeting then concluded that:1. There was no evidence to support the allegations of abuse, because the Harassment Diary was not put before the meeting, nor was the evidence of the Registrar, 999 operators or the two constables that attended the 3 January 2009 incident above.
2. That Robert Hofschröer owned 74 Rosedale Avenue, which is factually incorrect and contrary to law.
3. The transfer of the house was a civil matter, which is factually incorrect and contrary to law joint CYC and NYC safeguarding policy.
4. The allegations of harassment were not commented on which is contrary to joint CYC and NYC safeguarding policy.
5. The allegations of demanding money with menaces were not commented on which is contrary to joint CYC and NYC safeguarding policy.
 | Case Conference Minutes | Refusal to take action against Robert Hofschröer although his actions are contrary to the Fraud Act and CYC and NYC Safeguarding Policy. Attempting to pervert the course of justice. |
| 68 | 25.10.2009 |  | CYC complaints officer does not reply to request to forward the contact details of the person to whom complaints about CYC staff should be sent, in order to conceal acts of illegality and harassment by CYC staff. | e-mail | Denial of service |
| 69 | 26.10.2009 | 19.00 | PC Cooper attempts to stop Peter and Barbara handing out a leaflet at a public meeting, at the request of Labour Party activists or Councillor Simpson-Laing. He admits no criminal offence has taken place, but claims as the leaflet is allegedly defamatory, it should not be distributed.  | Peter, Barbara, PC Cooper, other police officers and attendees at Ward Committee Meeting.  | Harassment of Barbara & Peter by Police Abuse of Office |
| 70 | 01.11.2009 | 20.00 | Two Police officers, one male and one female, call at 74 Rosedale Avenue. They ring the doorbell for 15 minutes, waking up Barbara, who is unwell, then bang on the door. They then withdraw to their car outside the house and remain there for five minutes. The Police did not phone beforehand to state they were coming and did not explain why there were there. |  | Harassment of Barbara & Peter by Police |
| 71 | 02.11.2009 |  | Peter complains to Moreton about the previous harassment incident. Moreton informs Peter that he is the subject of an unspecified harassment warning. Peter asks who the complainant is and is told it is Robert, Diane and Martin. | e-mail | Harassment of Barbara & Peter by Police acting unlawfully under the instruction of Inspector Moreton |
| 72 | 02.11.2009 |  | NYP issue Harassment Warning to Peter for allegedly harassing Robert and his family by exercising his right to free speech by circulating a leaflet critical of the Police, Robert and Diane. The warning is not warranted, as Peter was exercising his right to free speech. | e-mail | Harassment of Peter by Inspector MoretonAbuse of Office2nd false allegation issued by NYC against Peter |
| 73 | 04.11.2009 |  | Peter complains to Moreton about the officers attending on 01.11.2009. Moreton does not reply. | e-mail |  |
| 74 | 08.11.2009 |  | Peter complains to Moreton about the incident on 26.10.2009. Moreton does not reply. | e-mail |  |
| 75 | 30.11.2009 |  | Ms Cath Murray writes to Tim Hicks stating “The council considers that the transfer of the property at 74 Rosedale Avenue was a private matter and therefore will not be commenting on this issue,” although transfers of property are indicators of abuse according to Council safeguarding policy. |  |  |
| 76 | December 2009 |  | Peter takes Barbara away for another short holiday of one month as before. Meets Tim Hicks in Luxembourg and then stays for a while in his former home in Austria. Barbara is taken ill, necessitating an extension of their stay. |  |  |
| 77 | 09.12.2009 |  | Moreton issues Harassment Warning to Peter for allegedly harassing the discredited martial arts expert and former employee of CYC Mark Bednarski, by distributing e-mails and “literature”. The warning is not valid, as it has not been signed or dated and Inspector Moreton cannot produce:1. A witness statement,
2. Signed complaint,
3. e-mails or “literature”

Neither is it warranted, as there are no grounds for issuing it. Mark Bednarski left the employment of CYC in September 2009 and so any e-mails or literature used by Bednarski to support his complaint are stolen property. Further, his complaint is malicious because all correspondence related to his job at CYC, he had left CYC two or three months earlier and is no longer receiving any e-mails from Peter Hofschröer |  | Harassment of Peter by Inspector MoretonAbuse of Office3rd false allegation issued by NYC against Peter |
| 78 | 10.12.2009 |  | Peter asks Moreton to provide the e-mails, literature and signed complaint by Bednarski that triggered the harassment warning. Moreton does not reply, presumably because they do not exist. (1) | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 79 | 14.12.2009 |  | York Social Services Department hold a meeting with Robert, Diane and Martin Hofschröer at which they are told they are exonerated of all allegations of abuse and that CYC regards Peter as the abuser and reported him to the Office of the Public Guardian making false allegations against him to attempt to have his Powers of Attorney revoked. CYC informs them Barbara’s Day Club has reported she is in Austria. Robert & Co inform CYC of their intention of gaining entry to Barbara’s house on Xmas Day with a police escort.  | Ombudsman’s report, copy of CYC Minutes supplied by OPG |  |
| 80 | 21.12.2009 |  | Peter chases Moreton for a reply on the Bednarski Harassment Warning. Moreton does not reply. (2) | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 81 | 22.12.2009 |  | Cath Murray of CYC refuses to process Peter’s request for information on Mark Bednarski’s latest false allegation.Peter makes formal complaint, which is rejected. | e-mails | Denial of Service |
| 82 | 03.01.2010 |  | Tim Hicks writes to WPCs Lowery, Crossan and PCs Mansell and Brocken asking them to explain inconsistencies in the evidence they gave to the IPCC. They do not respond. | e-mails | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 83 | 17.01.2010 |  | Peter chases Moreton again for a reply to his request for the evidence of harassment of Bednarski. Moreton again does not reply. (3) | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 84 | 24.01.2010 |  | Peter chases Moreton again for a reply to his request for the evidence of harassment of Bednarski and states if he gets no reply, he will take it as read that Moreton has fabricated the allegation. Moreton exercises his right to silence and does not reply. (4) | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 85 | 24.01.2010 |  | Tim Hicks contacts WPCs Lowery, Crossan and PCs Mansell and Brocken asking them to reply to his enquiry of 03.01.2010. They do not respond. | e-mails | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 86 | 07.02.2010 |  | Tim Hicks e-mails ACC Sue Cross concerning failures in the NYP investigation into the Hofschröer fraud case and she ignores his e-mails. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 87 | 12.02.2010 | 16.30 | Police officers call and interview next door’s children, who are minors, to try to ascertain the whereabouts of Peter and Barbara, who are on holiday, on orders from Inspector Moreton. |  |  |
| 88 | 14.02.2010 | 18.30 | On orders from Inspector Moreton, Police officers again knock on 74 Rosedale Avenue with no lawful reason to find out if Barbara and Peter are living in 74 Rosedale Avenue and when they were last seen there. |  |  |
| 89 | 15.02.2010 |  | Robert Hofschröer sends Peter and Barbara an e-mail confirming that he knows they are not currently in 74 Rosedale Avenue and threatening to enter 74 Rosedale Avenue in their absence. Inspector Moreton is copied in on this e-mail. |  | Harassment of Barbara & PeterEmotional abuse |
| 90 | 18.02.2010 |  | Robert sends Peter and Barbara a further e-mail stating his intention to unlawfully enter 74 Rosedale Avenue. He confirms he has been watching their movements. |  | Harassment of Barbara & Peter by RobertEmotional Abuse |
| 91 | 22.02.2010 | 09.30 | Robert enters 74 Rosedale Avenue unlawfully in violation of the Licence Agreement by getting a locksmith to cut a duplicate key. He then changes the locks, to prevent Barbara and Peter returning. Neighbours see Robert and Martin removing property form the house and call the Police. The Police are called by neighbours, but refuse to act. Robert and Martin leave after ½ hour. | Neighbours | Harassment of Barbara & Peter by RobertBreach of Licence Agreement |
| 92 | 22.02.2010 |  | Robert e-mails Peter informing him he has entered 74 Rosedale Avenue and makes false statements about the condition of the house (neighbours had photographed it the day before) and false allegations of neglect against her carer Peter, which were offensive to Barbara. | e-mail | Harassment of Barbara & Peter by RobertBreach of Licence Agreement |
| 93 | 22.02.2010 |  | Tim Hicks e-mails ACC Sue Cross concerning failures in the NYP investigation into the Hofschröer fraud case and she ignores his e-mails. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 94 | 23.02.2010 |  | Tim Hicks e-mails ACC Sue Cross concerning failures in the NYP investigation into the Hofschröer fraud case and she ignores his e-mails. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 95 | 24.02.2010 |  | Robert e-mails Peter asking to know when Barbara is coming home and stating “*Contrary to what you may think, I assure you we have no intention of seizing and selling our property and making mum homeless*”, although that is exactly what he subsequently went on to do. |  | Harassment of Barbara & Peter by Robert |
| 96 | 24.02.2010 | 21.10 | Robert is seen inside 74 Rosedale Avenue in the living room and Barbara’s bedroom, searching the house. He is also seen removing her property from 74 Rosedale Avenue. Alison Lowton e-mails and states the Council’s position is that Robert Hofschröer owns the property and is entitled to change the locks, although this is contrary to the Licence Agreement and law. | Neighbours and Police | Harassment of Barbara & Peter by RobertBreach of Licence AgreementTheft |
| 97 | 24.02.2010 |  | Alison Lowton asserts that Robert Hofschröer owns the property and has a right to change the locks on 74 Rosedale Avenue, although in fact this is contrary to the Licence to occupy and contrary to law. | e-mail |  |
| 98 | 26.02.2010 |  | Robert again e-mails Peter asking to know when Barbara is coming home. | e-mail | Harassment of Barbara & Peter by Robert |
| 99 | 26.02.2009 |  | Alison Lowton asserts that the changing of the locks and fraudulent transfer of 74 Rosedale avenue are “private matters” and that the council will not get involved in, although this is contrary to Council safeguarding policy | e-mail |  |
| 100 | 02.03.2010 |  | Alison Lowton writes to Tim Hicks stating that he transfer of the home is a private matter and accepting Robert’s assurances that he has sent a key. |  |  |
| 101 | 08.03.2010 |  | Robert again e-mails Peter asking to know when Barbara is coming home and mentioning how Barbara’s great-grandson, -whom he has withdrawn contact from because Barbara will not hand over control of her savings to him, is developing. This is deeply distressing to Barbara, who misses Matthias and does not like being reminded that she can’t see him. This constitutes taunting. | e-mail | Harassment of Barbara & Peter by RobertEmotional abuse |
| 102 | 15.03.2010 |  | Inspector Moreton e-mails Peter confirming that his officers were acting on Robert’s behalf on 12 and 14 February 2010. | e-mail | Abuse of office |
| 103 | 25.05.2010 |  | CYC has York Magistrates Court issue summons for alleged non-payment of Council Tax. CYC subsequently retracted the summons on the basis that they had not responded adequately to correspondence. | Letter from Rebecca Stanley of CYC. Summons. | Harassment of Barbara by CYCAbuse of officeAbuse of process |
| 104 | 14.06.2010 |  | Peter commences proceedings against Diane and Martin for the return of his house in Austria. |  |  |
| 105 | 20.06.2010 |  | Tim Hicks e-mails ACC Sue Cross concerning failures in the NYP investigation into the Hofschröer fraud case and she ignores his e-mails. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 106 | 21.06.2010 |  | Tim Hicks e-mails ACC Sue Cross concerning failures in the NYP investigation into the Hofschröer fraud case and she ignores his e-mails. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 107 | 22.06.2010 |  | CYC sends Barbara a further letter threatening action against her for non-payment of Council Tax. | Letter from Ian Floyd of CYC | Harassment of Barbara by CYC |
| 108 | 27.06.2010 |  | Mr Hicks writes to Superintendent Winward asking to see the “literature’, e-mails and signed complaint form that support the Bednarski harassment allegations. She does not provide them. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 109 | 15.07.2010 |  | CYC confirm that no Council Tax was due and that although Peter Hofschröer had contacted them several times to resolve this, his correspondence had been ignored by CYC. CYC then refund an overpayment of Council Tax. | Letter from Pauline Stuchfield of CYC | Harassment of Barbara by CYC |
| 110 | 13.08.2010 |  | Wedlake Saint write to Chief Constable Maxwell asking to see the “literature’, e-mails and signed complaint form that support the Bednarski harassment allegations. He does not provide them. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 111 | 26.08.2010 |  | A number of Peter’s e-mail accounts are hacked and confidential information from them is sent to Robert. The password is one that CYC knew and the addresses hacked partly ones only used to communicate with CYC. Peter’s data is then downloaded to another server. | e-mail from Robert to Pat Yates, e-mails from ISPs |  |
| 112 | 31.08.2010 |  | Peter’s solicitor writes to Moreton asking him to provide the e-mails, literature and signed complaint by Bednarski that triggered the Harassment Warning. Moreton does not reply, presumably because they do not exist. (5) |  | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 113 | 03.09.2010 |  | Peter reports to NYC that his e-mail accounts have been unlawfully hacked. NYC does not respond. |  |  |
| 114 | ??.09.2010 |  | Two Police officers question Nigel Bowling (neighbour) in York, asking him to confirm if Peter and Barbara are at home again. Inspector Moreton has Peter’s e-mail address and to help the Police, Tim Hicks has already confirmed to Superintendent Winward that Peter and Barbara are in Austria and has offered to put the Police in contact with Peter if they need to speak to him, so this enquiry is a complete waste of Police time, distressing to Barbara and Peter, and an irritation for the neighbours. | Tel con  | Harassment |
| 115 | ??.09.2010 |  | Two CID officers question young daughter of neighbours, who is a minor, doing so without the permission of their parents, asking about Peter & Barbara’s whereabouts and if their parents are in contact with them by phone and / or e-mail. Inspector Moreton has Peter’s e-mail address, and to help the Police, Tim Hicks has already confirmed to Superintendent Winward that Peter and Barbara are in Austria and has offered to put the Police in contact with Peter if they need to speak to him, so this enquiry is a complete waste of Police time, distressing to Barbara and Peter, and an irritation for the neighbours. | Tel con  | Harassment |
| 116 | ??.09.2010 |  | Two CID officers call on Peter Chaplin (neighbour). He declines to answer their questions asking about Peter & Barbara’s whereabouts. Inspector Moreton has Peter’s e-mail address and to help the Police, Tim Hicks has already confirmed to Superintendent Winward that Peter and Barbara are in Austria and has offered to put the Police in contact with Peter if they need to speak to them, so this enquiry is a complete waste of Police time, distressing to Barbara and Peter, and an irritation for the neighbours. | Tel con  | Harassment |
| 117 | 17.09.2010 |  | Wedlake Saint write to Chief Constable Maxwell asking to see the “literature’, e-mails and signed complaint form that support the Bednarski harassment allegations. He does not provide them. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 118 | 17.09.2010 |  | Peter’s solicitor writes to Chief Constable Maxwell asking him to cease this harassment and offers to put the Police in contact with Peter if they need to speak to him.  | Letter |  |
| 119 | 22.09.2010 |  | Two CID officers question Angela Bowling (neighbour), asking about Peter & Barbara’s whereabouts and if she is in contact by phone and / or e-mail. Inspector Moreton has Peter’s e-mail address and to help the Police, Tim Hicks had already confirmed to Superintendent Winward, and Peter’s solicitor had confirmed to the Chief Constable that Peter and Barbara are in Austria. He had also offered to put the Police in contact with Peter if they need to speak to him, so this enquiry is a complete waste of Police time, distressing to Barbara and Peter, and an irritation for the neighbours. | Tel con  | Harassment |
| 120 | 22.09.2010 |  | Tim Hicks sends IPCC reports containing allegations of abuse against Robert Hofschröer by two police constables to Cath Murray, who ignores them. | e-mail |  |
| 121 | 26.09.2010 |  | Tim Hicks writes to Superintendent Winward asking to see the “literature’, e-mails and signed complaint form that support the Bednarski Harassment allegations. She does not provide them. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 122 | 27.09.2010 |  | Tim Hicks writes to Superintendent Winward asking to see the “literature’, e-mails and signed complaint form that support the Bednarski Harassment allegations. She does not provide them. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 123 | 27.09.2010 |  | DC 1561 Bullock of York CID writes to Tim Hicks confirming that York CID want to contact Peter to arrest him concerning an unspecified complaint. | e-mail |  |
| 124 | 28.09.2010 | 17.54 | One of Peter’s e-mail accounts is hacked again. The password used is one that is on his PC in York, which suggests that Robert has now accessed Peter’s hard disk from 74 Rosedale Avenue. |  | HarassmentTheft |
| 125 | 05.10.2010 |  | Wedlake Saint writes to Chief Constable Maxwell asking to see the ‘literature’, e-mails and signed complaint form that support the Bednarski harassment allegations. He does not provide them. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 126 | 08.10.2010 |  | Inspector Ellis of York CID writes confirming they want to interview Peter Hofschröer under caution and will not arrest him if he reports for interview. | e-mail |  |
| 127 | 10.10.2010 |  | Mr Hicks writes to Inspector Cain asking to see the “literature’, e-mails and signed complaint form that support the Bednarski Harassment allegations. She does not provide them | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 128 | 11.10.2010 |  | ACC (ret) Steve Read QPM writes to Messrs Wedlake Saint falsely accusing Mr T Hicks of abusing and threatening police officers in e-mails. |  | Harassment of the investigating accountant |
| 129 | 18.10.2010 |  | Wedlake Saint writes to Chief Constable Maxwell asking to see the ‘literature’, e-mails and signed complaint form that support the Bednarski Harassment allegations. He does not provide them. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 130 | 21.10.2010 |  | ACC (ret) Steve Read QPM writes to Messrs Wedlake Saint denying that he accused Mr Hicks of threatening Police officers and confirming that this allegation has not been made. Mr Hicks subsequently makes a complaint against ACC (ret) Read |  |  |
| 131 | 02.11.2010 |  | Robert Hofschröer e-mails stating his intention to sell 74 Rosedale Avenue. |  | Fraud |
| 132 | 02.11.2010 |  | Robert confirms he has stolen property belonging to Peter Hofschröer from 74 Rosedale Avenue. | Letter to Peter and Barbara  | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 133 | 04.11.2010 |  | The Councils solicitor Mr Andrew Docherty writes describing Mr Hicks as “vexatious” | e-mail | Harassment of the investigating accountant. |
| 134 | 09.11.2010 |  | Wedlake Saint writes to Chief Constable Maxwell asking to see the ‘literature’, e-mails and signed complaint form that support the Bednarski harassment allegations. He does not provide them. | e-mail | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 135 | 12.11.2010 |  | ACC Sue Cross writes to Wedlake Saint confirming that:1. She has raised a complaint against ACC (ret) Steve Read QPM.2. Will respond in due course on the Bednarski issue.No further response is received. |  | Refusal to reply to conceal misconduct by Police |
| 136 | 16.11.2010 |  | Ms Cath Murray writes to Tim Hicks stating that if Mrs Hofschröer becomes homeless because she has breached the terms of her licence, then she could have made herself voluntarily homeless. | e-mail | Emotional abuse |
| 137 | 18.11.2010 |  | Cllr Simpson-Laing writes to Mr Hicks, confirming that she supports Ms Murray’s position that it is a private matter and comparing Barbara to couples that turn up from abroad with children misrepresenting themselves as homeless. | e-mail | Emotional abuse |
| 138 | 19.11.2010 |  | Solicitors acting on behalf of Barbara Hofschröer confirm that the transfer of the house is fraudulent and a criminal offence, not a civil matter and request a safeguarding conference. Letter is not responded to. | Letter |  |
| 139 | 20.11.2010 |  | Robert confirms he has stolen property belonging to Peter Hofschröer from 74 Rosedale Avenue. | Letter to Wedlake Saint |  |
| 140 | 22.11.2010 |  | Barbara’s GP issues a Medical Certificate stated she is not fit to travel at present. | Medical certificate |  |
| 141 | 28.11.2010 |  | At the request of Ms Murray, Peter writes asking for a key. Robert Hofschröer does not send it. Gives further confirmation that he did not send a key in February. | e-mail |  |
| 142 | 02.12.2010 |  | Deadline for the sale of the house passes, with no action by the council. |  |  |
| 143 | 07.12.2010 | 18.14 | Councillor Simpson-Laing writes to Tim Hicks through the Council’s solicitor Mr Docherty alleging falsely that Peter has made personal attacks on her by e-mail for two years and cutting off correspondence. She also alleges that she has received malicious telephone calls and informs Tim Hicks that she has informed the Police, the implication being that Tim Hicks has made the calls. | e-mail | Harassment of the investigating accountant.Harassment of Peter |
| 144 | 07.12.2010 | 20.52 | Councillor Horton writes three hours later insulting Tim Hicks and accusing him and Peter of emotionally abusing Mrs Hofschröer | e-mail | Harassment of the investigating accountant Harassment of Peter |
| 145 | 08.12.2010 |  | Robert Hofschröer confirms he has re-decorated 74 Rosedale Avenue although this was without informing Barbara. Presumably this was to make it ready for sale. | letter | Harassment of Barbara and PeterCriminal damage |
| 146 | 10.12.2010 |  | Solicitors acting on behalf of Tim Hicks, Peter and Barbara write to Councillor Simpson-Laing and Councillor Horton cautioning them as to their future conduct. | e-mail |  |
| 147 | 13.12.2010 |  | Robert has York Council report Peter to the DWP for benefit fraud. Peter’s benefits are cut off. York Council refuses to give sight of the documents under the DPA. | DWP file, e-mails | Harassment |
| 148 | 15.12.2010 |  | Barbara writes to Robert telling him how she is and mentioning her birthday party that was held in the local pub in Austria on 13 December 2010. | Letter |  |
| 149 | 10.01.2011 |  | Christmas card for Barbara from Robert arrives, having been posted on 22 December 2010, too late to arrive in time. Robert mentions he is aware that Peter has “a problem with NY Police”. | Card & envelope | Harassment of Barbara |
| 150 | 16.01.2011 |  | Inspektor Haberl visits Barbara and Peter to interview them regarding a kidnapping allegation made by Robert and forwarded by NYC to the Austrian police for investigation via Special Branch, SOCA, Met Police and Interpol. Inspektor Haberl specifically asks Barbara is she has been kidnapped. After questioning her and establishing all is well, he examines the house and sees that considerable work has been done to adapt the house for her.Her GP confirms she is being well looked after.This allegation too is shown to be false. | Interpol requestAustrian Police Report.  | Harassment of Barbara and Peter |
| 151 | 25.01.2011 |  | Barbara writes to Robert complaining about the way he has set his ‘friends’ (the police) onto us without good reason. | Letter |  |
| 152 | 31.01.2011 |  | Robert reports there has been a “burglary” at 74 Rosedale Avenue in which Peter’s computers, from which Robert had earlier downloaded the data, were stolen. Despite having been told not to go near the house again, Robert admits he has been going there regularly, in defiance of a threat to issue an injunction. The police reports that Barbara’s kitchen apron has been shredded with a knife. This is a death threat to Barbara by Robert. | Letter | Harassment of Barbara and PeterTheftCriminal damage |
| 153 | 31.03.2011 |  | A Mother’s Day card from Robert arrives in which he again makes abusive comments about Peter and denies having set the police on to his mother and brother. | Card | Harassment of Barbara and Peter |
| 154 | 10.06.2011 |  | Martin gives evidence in the civil case in Austria. He produces the bogus “Harassment Warning” as evidence of Peter’s bad character.  | Transcript of hearing. File of supporting documents. | Attempting to pervert the course of justice.Fraud |
| 155 | 13.12.2011 |  | Robert sends Barbara birthday card  |  | Harassment |
| 156 | 19.12.2011 |  | Robert sends Barbara Xmas card |  | Harassment |
| 157 | 21.06.2012 |  | Police make further threat to arrest Peter based on complaints of harassment allegedly received from Robert, Diane, Martin and Mark Bednarski. The warning is not valid as it has not been properly served. |  | Harassment |
| 158 | 04.07.2012 |  | Robert and family break into 74 Rosedale Avenue again and inform neighbours they are now accessing the house. | e-mails from neighbours | Harassment |
| 159 | 05.07.2012 |  | Neighbours report seeing Robert’s car outside 74 Rosedale Avenue | e-mail from neighbours | Harassment |
| 160 | 18.07.2012 |  | Neighbours report seeing Robert’s car outside 74 Rosedale Avenue on consecutive evenings. It appears he is residing there | Photos | Harassment |
| 161 | 31.08.2012 |  | Robert send Barbara a postcard in which he mentions nothing of the latest break-in, but acts as if nothing unusual has taken place |  | Harassment |
| 162 | 14.09.2012 |  | Robert’s solicitors inform Penningtons that he and others will be entering 74 Rosedale Avenue to “redecorate” it again. They are either preparing the house for sale again or are making changes to suit the needs of the current occupiers. | Fax from Harrowells | HarassmentCriminal damage |
| 163 | 09.10.2012 | 11.30 | Robert phones Barbara via BT Text Relay and asks her when she is “coming back home”, knowing full well this is impossible, as he has locked her out. This caused Mum considerable distress. The call facilitator was Ben, the tel. no. +44 191 461 0397. The ref. no. 604936620 | Complaint made by e-mail to BT  | Harassment |
| 164 | 13.11.2012 |  | NYP write to Peter informing him they will arrest and prosecute him (without good reason) should he return home. This would leave Barbara without her carer, which has caused her considerable distress, | Letter from NYP | Harassment |
| 165 | 27.11.2012 |  | Robert sends Barbara a letter with old photographs of the house and tells her how her great-grandson is. As Barbara has been locked out of her house and denied access to her great-grandson, she is very distressed by this. | Letter from Robert | Harassment |
| 166 | 06.12.2012 |  | Robert sends Barbara a birthday card and reminds her of the photos of the house he has locked her out of. | Card from Robert | Harassment |
| 167 | 18.12.2012 |  | Robert sends Barbara a Christmas card | Card from Robert | Harassment |
| 168 | 21.12.2012 |  | Robert refuses to extend Restriction on 74 Rosedale Avenue | e-Mail from solicitors | Harassment |
| 169 | 02.01.2013 |  | Faced with the prospect of an injunction, Robert, Diane and Martin agree at the last minute to an extension of the Restriction, but not after Barbara was forced to spend a substantial amount of money preparing it. Barbara was so distraught, she has become badly ill and bed-ridden.  |  | Harassment |
| 170 | 25/02/13 |  | Robert’s solicitors inform Barbara they expect her to pay half the costs of the work they have done on her house in preparation of their next attempt to fraudulently sell it |  | Harassment |
| 171 | 02.03.2013 |  | Neighbours phone to say they have seen Robert and his family dispose of Barbara’s and her late husband’s possessions, including her husband’s ashes, in a skip outside her house. Barbara has again become very ill, her incontinence has been exacerbated and she has started having falls again.  |  | HarassmentTheftCriminal Damage |
| 172 | 04.03.2013 |  | Robert sends Barbara a mother’s day card in which he taunts her about not being able to come home again. He includes two photos showing work he has done on the house – the kitchen and the outside wall, but does not send photos of the rest of the house, as this would show that he has stolen or disposed of all her and her late husband’s possessions.  |  | Harassment |
| 173 | 22.04.2013 |  | Robert sends Barbara a letter with photos of her garden, taunting her that she cannot come home. He does not send photos of the rest of the house, as this would show that he has stolen or disposed of all her and her late husband’s possessions.  |  | Harassment |
| 174 | 05/07/13 |  | Barbara's lawyers obtain injunction in England to prevent Robert & Co from selling her house | Court order |  |
| 175 | 08.07.2013 |  | Austrian State Prosecutor instructs psychiatrist to examine Peter on the basis of an allegation that he has committed a criminal offence. No evidence has been produced and charges have not been pressed, but this unsupported allegation is used as an excuse to have Peter silenced by declaring him "mentally incapacitated". | Letter | Harassment |
| 176 | 11.07.2013 |  | The District Court in Liezen, Austria, issues an order appointing an attorney to represent Peter in legal matters, with the explicit intention of stopping him from undertaking legal action to prevent the fraudulent sale of his mother’s house, which is contempt of court, a criminal offence. | Court Order | Harassment |
| 177 | 15.07.2013 |  | Dr Manfred Walzl, a court-appointed psychiatrist known to be a sexual deviant, who falsifies reports for money without examining the victim, wrote to her carer insisting he undergo a psychiatric examination clearly with the intent of declaring him “mentally incapacitated”. He required him to attend at a time and a place he knew it would be impossible for Peter to attend. Peter asks him for an appointment at a convenient time and place. Walzl agrees but does not come back to him on this. Walzl later lies about this, claiming that Peter refused to be examined. | Letter | Harassment |
| 178 | 09.08.2013 |  | A second psychiatrist, Dr Christina Gerhardter summonsed Peter to attend a psychiatric examination at a time and in a place the court knows to be inconvenient. Peter again asks for an appointment at a convenient time and place. He again does not get a reply. Gerhardter later lies about this, claiming that Peter refused to be examined. | Court Summonds | Harassment |
| 179 | 13.08.2013 |  | Walzl publishes a report declaring Peter to be "incurably paranoid" without ever having examined him. He attaches his invoice to the report. | Letter | Harassment |
| 180 | 19.08.2013 |  | Thomas Strausz, a court-appointed psychotherapist summonsed Peter to participate in a psychiatric examination at a time he knew to be inconvenient, harassed Peter at his front door knowing this, then later lied about this, claiming that Peter refused to be examined, when Peter had already offered to be examined at a convenient time. | Letter | Harassment |
| 181 | 11.09.2013 |  | Judge Monika Lackner of the District Court in Liezen issues a threat to break down front door and have Peter sectioned. | Court Order | Harassment |
| 182 | 22.09.2013 |  | Peter takes Barbara to a place of safety in Germany |  |  |
| 183 | 25.09.2013 |  | Strausz comes to Peter's house and finds nobody is there. He considers breaking in, but decides not to. |  | Harassment |
| 184 | 27.09.2013 |  | Strausz issues a report declaring Peter to be "paranoid" without ever having examined him. This report contains numerous errors of fact. For instance, one of the original reasons for declaring Peter to lack capacity was that he was "aggressive". He is now being declared to be "paranoid" because he is a placid person. | Report | Harassment |
| 185 | 13.10.2014 |  | Deputy Chief Constable Tim Madgwick of North Yorkshire Police apparently writes to Peter. The letter does not arrive. This letter appears to indicate that NYP has fabricated further allegations against Peter, possibly for "kidnapping" his mother by taking her to Germany to visit friends and family. | Letter of 29.11.2013 | Harassment |
| 186 | 13.11.2013 |  | Austrian court appoints attorney for Peter. This court order is void as proper service has not taken place. | Letter | Harassment |
| 187 | 29.11.2014 |  | Austrian police questions Barbara's friends and neighbours in Austria in an attempt to find out where she is. Their statements indicate they have been illegally intercepting Peter's communications. | E-Mail from neighbours | Harassment |
| 188 | 29.11.2013 |  | DCC Madgwick sends Peter a second letter, which arrived on 18 January 2014 (!) in which he indicates his intention to arrest Peter and remove Barbara from his care should they return to the UK. | Letter | Harassment |
| 189 | 03.12.2013 |  | Interpol Manchester request German police to locate Barbara.  | E-Mail | Harassment |
| 190 | 20.12.2013 |  | Barbara and Peter give TV interview with Sonia Poulton in which the British and Austrian authorities are accused of collusion and corruption.  | https://vimeo.com/84524221 |  |
| 191 | 31.03.2013 |  | German District Court orders the police to contact Barbara as she has been “kidnapped and brought to Germany against her will”. |  | Harassment |
| 192 | 01.04.2014 |  | Barbara and Peter give radio interview in which the British and Austrian authorities are accused of collusion and corruption. | http://wp.me/P1Nj7d-3j8 |  |
| 193 | 03.04.2014 |  | Austrian police break into Peter’s house and are believed to have planted child pornography. They also are believed to have stolen a number of items of value. | Letter | Harassment, theft |
| 194 | 15.04.2014 |  | Peter gives a follow up radio interview in which he names the Austrian police officers who he believes to have planted the child pornography.  | http://www.shazizzradio.com/lesa-theriault.html |  |
| 195 | 18.04.2014 |  | Austrian police break into Peter’s house a second time and are believed to have planted more child pornography. Peter’s collection of antique weapons and other historical artefacts are stolen. | Letter | Harassment, theft |
| 196 | 06.05.2014 |  | Under Robert’s direction, German police unlawfully raid Barbara and Peter’s refuge, abduct Barbara, sedate her and let Robert take her back to York. Peter is assaulted, unlawfully imprisoned and then released without comment or charge. |  | Abduction, theft, assault, harassment, unlawful imprisonment |